

No. S-146293
Vancouver Registry

In the Supreme Court of British Columbia

Between

SARA RAMSAY

Plaintiff

and

PANASONIC CORPORATION f/k/a MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD.; PANASONIC CORPORATION OF NORTH AMERICA; PANASONIC CANADA INC.; SANYO ELECTRIC CO., LTD.; SANYO ELECTRONIC DEVICE (U.S.A.) CORP.; SANYO NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION; TAIYO YUDEN CO., LTD.; TAIYO YUDEN (USA) INC.; NEC TOKIN CORPORATION; NEC TOKIN AMERICA, INC.; KEMET CORPORATION; KEMET ELECTRONICS CORPORATION; NIPPON CHEMI-CON CORPORATION; UNITED CHEMI-CON, INC.; HITACHI CHEMICAL CO., LTD.; HITACHI AIC INC.; HITACHI CHEMICAL CO. AMERICA, LTD.; HITACHI CANADA; FUJITSU LTD.; FUJITSU CANADA, INC.; NICHICON CORPORATION; FPCAP ELECTRONICS (SUZHOU) CO., LTD.; NICHICON (AMERICA) CORPORATION; AVX CORPORATION; RUBYCON CORPORATION; RUBYCON AMERICA INC.; ELNA CO., LTD.; ELNA AMERICA INC.; MATSUO ELECTRIC CO., LTD.; TOSHIN KOGYO CO., LTD.; HOLY STONE ENTERPRISE CO., LTD.; MILESTONE GLOBAL TECHNOLOGY, INC. d/b/a HOLYSTONE INTERNATIONAL; VISHAY INTERTECHNOLOGY, INC.; VISHAY POLYTECH CO., LTD. f/k/a HOLY STONE POLYTECH CO., LTD. ; SAMSUNG ELECTRO-MECHANICS; SAMSUNG ELECTRO-MECHANICS AMERICA INC.; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CANADA INC.; ROHM CO., LTD.; AND ROHM SEMICONDUCTOR U.S.A., LLC

Defendants

BROUGHT UNDER THE *CLASS PROCEEDINGS ACT*, R.S.B.C. 1996, c. 50

**ORDER MADE AFTER APPLICATION (ELECTROLYTIC CAPACITORS)
HOLY STONE CERTIFICATION AND NOTICE APPROVAL**



BEFORE THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE
BRONGERS

)
)
)

DEC 23 2021

ON THE APPLICATION of the Plaintiff coming on for hearing at the Courthouse, 800 Smithe Street, Vancouver, BC, on 15/Dec/2021 and on hearing Michelle Segal and

Articled Student, Sophie Maraldo for the Plaintiff; Brian Whitwham counsel for the defendant AVX Corporation; Kevin Wright, counsel for the Defendants Elna Co., Ltd and Elna America Inc.; Lauren Mills Taylor, counsel for the defendants Fujitsu Ltd. and Fujitsu Canada, Inc.; Warren Ferguson, counsel for the defendants Hitachi Chemical Co., Ltd., Hitachi AIC Inc., Hitachi Chemical Co. America, Ltd. and Hitachi Canada; Gillian Kerr, counsel for the defendant Holy Stone Enterprise Co., Ltd., Milestone Global Technology, Inc. d/b/a Holystone International, Vishay Intertechnology, Inc., Vishay Polytech Co., Ltd. f/k/a Holy Stone and Polytech Co., Ltd; Davit Akman and Mary Buttery, counsel for the defendants Kemet Corporation and Kemet Electronics Corporation; Adam Goodman and Melanie Power, counsel for the defendant Matsuo Electric Co., Ltd.; Neil Campbell, Joan Young and William Wu, counsel for the defendants Nichicon Corporation and Nichicon (America) Corporation; Michael Fenrick, counsel for the defendants Nippon Chemi-Con Corporation and United Chemi-Con, Inc.; Paul Martin, counsel for the defendants Rohm Co., Ltd. and Rohm Semiconductor U.S.A., LLC; and Michael Osborne and Jessica Kuredjian, counsel for the defendants Rubycon Corporation and Rubycon America Inc.

AND ON READING the materials filed including the settlement agreement entered into with Holy Stone Enterprise Co., Ltd., Vishay Polytech Co., Ltd. f/k/a Holystone Polytech Co., Ltd., Milestone Global Technology, Inc. d/b/a Holystone International, Holy Stone Holdings Co., Ltd., and Vishay Intertechnology, Inc. (the "**Settling Defendants**") dated November 23, 2021 (the "**Settlement Agreement**") attached as **Schedule "A"**;

AND ON BEING ADVISED that RicePoint Administration Inc. has consented to being appointed as notice provider in accordance with the terms of this Order;

AND ON BEING ADVISED that the Plaintiff and the Settling Defendants consent to this Order and that the Non-Settling Defendants take no position on this application;

THIS COURT ORDERS that:

1. Except to the extent that they are modified in this Order, the definitions set out in the Settlement Agreement apply to and are incorporated into this Order;
2. The BC Electrolytic Action is certified as a class proceeding as against the Settling Defendants for settlement purposes only;
3. The BC Electrolytic Settlement Class is defined as:

All Persons and entities in British Columbia who purchased Electrolytic Capacitors¹ or a product containing an Electrolytic Capacitor during the Electrolytic Class Period² except Excluded Persons.³

¹ *Electrolytic Capacitors* means aluminum and tantalum electrolytic capacitors.

² *Electrolytic Class Period* means September 1, 1997 to December 31, 2014.

³ *Excluded Person* means each Defendant, the directors and officers of each Defendant, the subsidiaries or affiliates of each Defendant, the entities in which each Defendant or any of that Defendant's subsidiaries or affiliates have a controlling interest and the legal representatives, heirs, successors and assigns of each of the foregoing.

4. The BC Electrolytic Action is certified on the basis of the following issue which is common to the BC Electrolytic Settlement Class:

Did the Settling Defendants conspire to fix, raise, maintain or stabilize the price of, or allocate markets and customers of, Electrolytic Capacitors directly or indirectly in Canada during the Electrolytic Class Period? If so, what damages, if any, did the BC Electrolytic Settlement Class Members suffer?

5. Sara Ramsay is appointed as the representative plaintiff for the BC Electrolytic Settlement Class;
6. This Order, including but not limited to the certification of this action against the Settling Defendants for settlement purposes and the definitions of the BC Electrolytic Settlement Class, Electrolytic Class Period and Common Electrolytic Issue, and any

reasons given by the Court in connection with this Order, is without prejudice to the rights and defences of the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants in connection with the ongoing BC Electrolytic Action and, without restricting the generality of the foregoing, may not be relied on by any Person to establish jurisdiction, the criteria for certification (including class definition) or the existence or elements of the causes of action asserted in the BC Electrolytic Action, as against the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants;

7. The opt-out period provided pursuant to the order of this Court made on July 12, 2018, satisfies the requirement of section 16 of the *Class Proceeding Act*, RSBC 1996, c 50 for the purposes of this action, that no further opt-out period is necessary for the BC Electrolytic Action and that the opt-out period expired on October 24, 2018;

8. The notices of certification and settlement approval hearing (the “**Notices**”) are hereby approved substantially in the form attached hereto as **Schedules “B” to “E”**;

9. The plan of dissemination of the Notices (the “**Plan of Dissemination**”) is hereby approved in substantially the form attached hereto as **Schedule “F”** and that the Notices shall be disseminated in accordance with the Plan of Dissemination;

10. RicePoint Administration Inc. is appointed to disseminate the Notices in accordance with the terms of this Order;


11. This Order is contingent upon parallel orders being made by the Ontario Court and the Quebec Court, and the terms of this Order shall not be effective unless and until such orders are made by the Ontario Court and the Quebec Court;

12. If the Settlement Agreement is not approved, it is terminated in accordance with its terms or otherwise fails to take effect for any reason, paragraphs 1 to 6 and 8 to 11 of this Order, including certification for settlement purposes, shall be deemed to have been set aside and declared null and void and of no force or effect, without the need for any further Order of this Court, and shall not be deemed to be an attornment to or admission of the jurisdiction of this Court. In those circumstances, a case management conference shall be convened to seek directions, including in respect of the need for

and form and content of additional notice to BC Electrolytic Settlement Class Members.;
and


13. Endorsement of this Order by counsel for the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants shall be dispensed with.

THE FOLLOWING PARTIES APPROVE THE FORM OF THIS ORDER AND
CONSENT TO EACH OF THE ORDERS, IF ANY, THAT ARE INDICATED ABOVE AS
BEING BY CONSENT:




Signature of lawyer for the Plaintiff
Michelle Segal

FOR



Signature of lawyer for the Settling
Defendants
Gillian Kerr

By the Court



Registrar
BRONKENS J.

SCHEDULE "A"

CAPACITORS CLASS ACTION NATIONAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Between:

**CYGNUS ELECTRONICS CORPORATION, SEAN ALLOTT, SARA RAMSAY
and OPTION CONSOMMATEURS
(the "Plaintiffs")**

and

**HOLY STONE ENTERPRISE CO., LTD., VISHAY POLYTECH CO., LTD. f/k/a HOLYSTONE
POLYTECH CO., LTD., MILESTONE GLOBAL TECHNOLOGY, INC. d/b/a HOLYSTONE
INTERNATIONAL, HOLY STONE HOLDINGS CO., LTD., and VISHAY
INTERTECHNOLOGY, INC.
(the "Settling Defendants")**

Executed – November 23, 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
SECTION 1 DEFINITIONS.....	3
SECTION 2 SETTLEMENT APPROVAL.....	10
2.1 Best Efforts	10
2.2 Motions Seeking Approval of Notice and Certification or Authorization	10
2.3 Motions Seeking Approval of the Settlement.....	11
2.4 Pre-Motion Confidentiality	11
SECTION 3 SETTLEMENT BENEFITS	11
3.1 Payment of Settlement Amount.....	11
3.2 Taxes and Interest	12
SECTION 4 COOPERATION.....	12
4.1 Extent of Cooperation	12
4.2 Limits on Use of Documents	15
4.3 Intervention in the U.S. Litigation	16
SECTION 5 DISTRIBUTION OF THE SETTLEMENT AMOUNT AND ACCRUED INTEREST	16
5.1 Distribution Protocol.....	16
SECTION 6 RELEASES AND DISMISSALS.....	16
6.1 Release of Releasees.....	16
6.2 Covenant Not to Sue.....	16
6.3 No Further Claims.....	17
6.4 Dismissal of the Proceedings.....	17
6.5 Dismissal of Other Actions	17
6.6 Obligation of Class Counsel Regarding Released Claims and Other Actions.....	18
SECTION 7 BAR ORDER AND WAIVER OF SOLIDARITY.....	18
7.1 Ontario and British Columbia Bar Order.....	18
7.2 Québec Waiver or Renunciation of Solidarity Order	21
7.3 Claims Against Other Entities Reserved	21
SECTION 8 EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT.....	21
8.1 No Admission of Liability or Attornment.....	21
8.2 Agreement Not Evidence	22

SECTION 9 CERTIFICATION OR AUTHORIZATION FOR SETTLEMENT ONLY.....	22
SECTION 10 NOTICE TO SETTLEMENT CLASS	23
10.1 Notices Required	23
10.2 Form and Distribution of Notices	23
SECTION 11 ADMINISTRATION AND IMPLEMENTATION	23
11.1 Mechanics of Administration	23
SECTION 12 CLASS COUNSEL FEES, DISBURSEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATION EXPENSES	23
SECTION 13 NON-APPROVAL OR TERMINATION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT	24
13.1 Right of Termination.....	24
13.2 Effect of Non-Approval or Termination of Settlement Agreement	24
13.3 Allocation of Settlement Amount Following Termination.....	25
13.4 Survival of Provisions After Termination.....	25
SECTION 14 MISCELLANEOUS	25
14.1 Motions for Directions	25
14.2 Releasees Have No Liability for Administration	26
14.3 Headings, etc.....	26
14.4 Computation of Time.....	26
14.5 Ongoing Jurisdiction	26
14.6 Governing Law.....	27
14.7 Entire Agreement	27
14.8 Amendments.....	27
14.9 Binding Effect.....	27
14.10 Counterparts	27
14.11 Negotiated Agreement	27
14.12 Language.....	27
14.13 Transaction	28
14.14 Recitals.....	28
14.15 Schedules	28
14.16 Acknowledgements.....	28
14.17 Authorized Signatures.....	28
14.18 Notice	28
14.19 Date of Execution.....	29
SCHEDULE A PROCEEDINGS	A-1
SCHEDULE B.....	A-1
SCHEDULE C.....	A-5

**CAPACITORS CLASS ACTION
NATIONAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

RECITALS

- A. WHEREAS the Electrolytic Proceedings were commenced by the Ontario Electrolytic Plaintiffs in London, Ontario, the BC Plaintiff in Vancouver, British Columbia and the Québec Plaintiff in Montreal, Québec;
- B. WHEREAS the Ontario Film Action was commenced by the Ontario Film Plaintiff in London, Ontario, and the BC Film Capacitor Action was commenced in Vancouver, British Columbia;
- C. WHEREAS the Settling Defendants were not named in the BC Film Capacitor Action;
- D. WHEREAS the Plaintiffs respectively allege in the Electrolytic Proceedings and the Ontario Film Action that certain companies, including the Settling Defendants, participated in an unlawful conspiracy to fix, raise, maintain or stabilize the price of Electrolytic and Film Capacitors in Canada, contrary to Part VI of the *Competition Act* and the common law and/or civil law;
- E. WHEREAS Holy Stone represents that it has never manufactured Film Capacitors and has never sold Film Capacitors into North America, and the Settling Defendants represent that they have never participated in the conspiracy meetings alleged in the Ontario Film Action or the BC Film Capacitor Action;
- F. WHEREAS the Electrolytic Settlement Amount is to be paid in respect of the Electrolytic Proceedings for the benefit of the Electrolytic Settlement Class, and the Film Settlement Amount is to be paid in respect of the Ontario Film Action for the benefit of the Ontario Film Settlement Class;
- G. WHEREAS the Settling Defendants do not admit, through the execution of this Settlement Agreement or otherwise, any allegation of unlawful conduct alleged in the Proceedings, or otherwise;
- H. WHEREAS the Plaintiffs, Class Counsel and the Settling Defendants agree that neither this Settlement Agreement nor any statement made in the negotiation thereof shall be deemed or construed to be an admission by or evidence against the Settling Defendants or evidence of the truth of any of the Plaintiffs' allegations against the Settling Defendants, which allegations are expressly denied by the Settling Defendants;
- I. WHEREAS the Settling Defendants are entering into this Settlement Agreement in order to achieve a final and nationwide resolution of all Released Electrolytic and Film Capacitors' Claims asserted or which could have been asserted against the Releasees by the Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class in the respective Proceedings, and to avoid further expense, inconvenience and the distraction of burdensome and protracted litigation;
- J. WHEREAS Holy Stone has agreed to provide meaningful early cooperation, relating to the Electrolytic Proceedings, to Plaintiffs in addition to the Settlement Amount, which

cooperation is a material factor to the Plaintiffs in the formulation of the terms of this Settlement Agreement;

- K. WHEREAS the Settling Defendants have challenged the jurisdiction of the Ontario Court and do not hereby attorn to the jurisdiction of the Courts or any other court or tribunal in respect of any civil, criminal or administrative process except to the extent expressly provided in this Settlement Agreement with respect to the Proceedings;
- L. WHEREAS certain of the Settling Defendants acquired the tantalum Electrolytic Capacitor business of Hitachi AIC in 2010 and the allegations against the Settling Defendants are focused on the operation of that business from 2010-2014;
- M. WHEREAS on May 26, 2015, the United States District Court of the Northern District of California dismissed Vishay Intertechnology, Inc. from In re *Capacitors Antitrust Litigation*, Master File No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD (N.D.Ca.) (the “**U.S. Action**”) which raises allegations similar to those raised in the Action;
- N. WHEREAS Vishay Intertechnology, Inc. has advised the Plaintiffs that it: (a) has not been advised by any regulatory authority that it is the subject of any investigation relating to the prices of Capacitors, (b) denies any involvement in any conspiracy to fix prices of Capacitors as alleged in the Action, and (c) is not aware of any facts or circumstances relating to the conduct of Vishay Intertechnology or its employees that could give rise to liability pursuant to Part VI of the *Competition Act*, RSC 1985, c C-34, in respect of Capacitors. Vishay Intertechnology Inc. is not named as a defendant in the Québec Action or the BC Film Capacitor Action. The BC and Ontario Electrolytic Proceedings and the Ontario Film Capacitors Action were discontinued against Vishay Intertechnology Inc. in 2016;
- O. WHEREAS, Vishay Intertechnology, Inc. indirectly acquired Holy Stone Polytech Co., Ltd. in or about June 2014, and renamed it Vishay Polytech Co. Ltd., and there are no allegations against Vishay Polytech since it was acquired by Vishay Intertechnology, Inc.
- P. WHEREAS the Settling Defendants have advised that the primary Settling Defendant employees who have direct, firsthand knowledge of the alleged conspiratorial activities are no longer employed by the Settling Defendants or within their control;
- Q. WHEREAS Counsel for the Settling Defendants and Class Counsel have engaged in extensive arm’s-length settlement discussions and negotiations resulting in this Settlement Agreement relating to Canada;
- R. WHEREAS as a result of these settlement discussions and negotiations, the Settling Defendants and the Plaintiffs have entered into this Settlement Agreement, which embodies all of the terms and conditions of the settlement between the Settling Defendants and the Plaintiffs, both individually and on behalf of the classes they represent or seek to represent, subject to approval of the Courts;
- S. WHEREAS the Plaintiffs and Class Counsel have reviewed and fully understand the terms of this Settlement Agreement and, based on their analyses of the facts and law applicable to the Plaintiffs’ claims, having regard to the burdens and expense in prosecuting the Proceedings, including the risks and uncertainties associated with trials and appeals, and having regard to the value of the Settlement Agreement, the Plaintiffs

and Class Counsel have concluded that this Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable and in the best interests of the Plaintiffs and the classes they represent or seek to represent;

- T. WHEREAS the Parties therefore wish to and hereby finally resolve on a national basis, without admission of liability, the Proceedings as against the Settling Defendants who are named as Defendants in the Proceedings;
- U. WHEREAS the Québec Action has already been authorized, by judgement of the Québec Court, on March 22, 2019;
- V. WHEREAS for the purposes of settlement only, the Parties now consent to certification or authorization of the Proceedings as class proceedings and to the Settlement Classes and Common Issues in respect of each of the Proceedings solely for the purposes of implementing this Settlement Agreement in a coordinated and consistent manner across Canada and contingent on approvals by the Courts as provided for in this Settlement Agreement, on the express understanding that such certification or authorization shall not derogate from the respective rights of the Parties in the event that this Settlement Agreement is not approved, is terminated or otherwise fails to take effect for any reason;
- W. WHEREAS a Canada-wide notice program and opt-out process has already been provided to the Settlement Class, in respect of the Electrolytic Proceedings and the Film Proceedings, on a national basis; and
- X. WHEREAS the Plaintiffs assert that they are adequate class representatives for the classes they represent or seek to represent and are or will seek to be appointed representative plaintiffs in their respective Proceedings;

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the covenants, agreements and releases set forth and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are acknowledged, it is agreed by the Parties that the BC Action, the Ontario Electrolytic Action, and the Ontario Film Action be settled and dismissed with prejudice as to the Settling Defendants who are named as Defendants only, without costs as to the Plaintiffs, the classes they represent or seek to represent or the Releasees, and that the Québec Action shall be settled out of court without costs, subject to the approval of the Courts, on the following terms and conditions:

SECTION 1 DEFINITIONS

For the purposes of this Settlement Agreement only, including the Recitals and Schedules hereto:

- (1) **Administration Expenses** means all fees, disbursements, expenses, costs, taxes and any other amounts incurred or payable by the Plaintiffs, Class Counsel or otherwise for the approval, implementation and operation of this Settlement Agreement, including the costs of notices and the costs of claims administration, but excluding Class Counsel Fees.
- (2) **Approval Hearings** mean the hearings to approve the motions brought by Class Counsel for the Courts' approval of the settlements provided for in this Settlement Agreement.

- (3) **BC Action** means the proceeding commenced by the BC Plaintiff before the BC Court that is identified in Schedule A to this Settlement Agreement.
- (4) **BC Counsel** means Camp Fiorante Matthews Mogerman LLP.
- (5) **BC Court** means the Supreme Court of British Columbia.
- (6) **BC Film Capacitor Action** means the proceeding commenced before the BC Court, bearing File No. 156006.
- (7) **BC Plaintiff** means Sara Ramsay.
- (8) **BC Settlement Class** means the settlement class in respect of the BC Action that is defined in Schedule A to this Settlement Agreement.
- (9) **Claims Administrator** means the firm to be proposed by Class Counsel and appointed by the Courts to administer the Settlement Amount in accordance with the provisions of this Settlement Agreement and the Distribution Protocol, and any employees of such firm.
- (10) **Class Counsel** means Ontario Counsel, Québec Counsel and BC Counsel.
- (11) **Class Counsel Fees** include the fees, disbursements, costs, interest, GST or HST (as the case may be) and other applicable taxes or charges thereon, including any amounts payable by Class Counsel or the Settlement Class Members to any other body or Person as a result of the Settlement Agreement, including the Fonds d'aide aux actions collectives in Québec.
- (12) **Common Electrolytic Issue** means: Did the Settling Defendants conspire to fix, raise, maintain or stabilize the price of, or allocate markets and customers of, Electrolytic Capacitors directly or indirectly in Canada during the Electrolytic Class Period? If so, what damages, if any, did Settlement Class Members suffer?
- (13) **Common Film Issue** means: Did the Settling Defendants conspire to fix, raise, maintain or stabilize the price of, or allocate markets and customers of, Film Capacitors directly or indirectly in Canada during the Film Class Period? If so, what damages, if any, did Settlement Class Members suffer?
- (14) **Common Issues** means the Common Electrolytic Issue and the Common Film Issue.
- (15) **Counsel for the Settling Defendants** means McCarthy Tétrault LLP.
- (16) **Courts** means the Ontario Court, the Québec Court and the BC Court.
- (17) **Defendants** means the Electrolytic Defendants and the Ontario Film Defendants.
- (18) **Distribution Protocol** means the plan to be developed by Class Counsel for distributing the Settlement Amount, plus accrued interest and less approved Administration Expenses and Class Counsel Fees, to Settlement Class Members, as approved by the Courts.

- (19) **Documents** means all papers, computer or electronic records, or other materials within the scope of Rule 1.03(1) and Rule 30.01(1) of the Ontario Rules of Civil Procedure and any copies, reproductions or summaries of the foregoing, including microfilm copies and computer images.
- (20) **Effective Date** means the date when the Final Orders have been received from all Courts approving this Settlement Agreement.
- (21) **Electrolytic Capacitors** means aluminum and tantalum electrolytic capacitors.
- (22) **Electrolytic Class Period** means September 1, 1997 to December 31, 2014.
- (23) **Electrolytic Defendants** means the entities named as defendants in any of the Electrolytic Proceedings as set out in Schedule A to this Settlement Agreement, and any Persons added as defendants in the Electrolytic Proceedings in the future. For greater certainty, Electrolytic Defendants includes, without limitation, the Settling Defendants.
- (24) **Electrolytic Plaintiffs** means the Ontario Electrolytic Plaintiffs, the Québec Plaintiff and the BC Plaintiff.
- (25) **Electrolytic Proceedings** means the Ontario Electrolytic Action, the Québec Action, and the BC Action as defined in Schedule A to this Settlement Agreement.
- (26) **Electrolytic Releasors** means, jointly and severally, individually and collectively, the Electrolytic Plaintiffs and the Electrolytic Settlement Class Members, on behalf of themselves and any Person or entity claiming by or through them as a present or former, direct or indirect, parent, subsidiary, affiliate, division or department, predecessor, successor, shareholder, partner, director, owner of any kind, agent, principal, employee, contractor, attorney, heir, executor, administrator, insurer, devisee, assignee, trustee, servant, contractor or representative of any kind.
- (27) **Electrolytic Settlement Amount** means the sum of CAD \$790,000.00.
- (28) **Electrolytic Settlement Class(es)** means all Persons included in the Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class, the Québec Settlement Class and the BC Settlement Class.
- (29) **Electrolytic Settlement Class Member(s)** means a member of an Electrolytic Settlement Class.
- (30) **Execution Date** means the date of the execution of this Settlement Agreement by counsel for all the Plaintiffs and the Settling Defendants.
- (31) **Excluded Person** means each Defendant, the directors and officers of each Defendant, the subsidiaries or affiliates of each Defendant, the entities in which each Defendant or any of that Defendant's subsidiaries or affiliates have a controlling interest and the legal representatives, heirs, successors and assigns of each of the foregoing.
- (32) **Film Capacitors** means capacitors which use insulating plastic film and one of two conductive materials, propylene and/or polyester. Film Capacitors include, but are not limited to, the following four generations: (1) film and aluminum foil capacitors, (2) film

and other metal capacitors, (3) layered capacitors, and (4) surface-mount capacitors (i.e., capacitors without leaves).

- (33) **Film Class Period** means January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2014.
- (34) **Film Proceedings** means the Ontario Film Action, the BC Film Capacitor Action, and the Motion for Authorization for Settlement Purposes Only that was previously brought by the Québec Plaintiff in respect of Film Capacitors.
- (35) **Film Releasors** means, jointly and severally, individually and collectively, the Ontario Film Capacitor Plaintiff and the Ontario Film Settlement Class Members, on behalf of themselves and any Person or entity claiming by or through them as a present or former, direct or indirect, parent, subsidiary, affiliate, division or department, predecessor, successor, shareholder, partner, director, owner of any kind, agent, principal, employee, contractor, attorney, heir, executor, administrator, insurer, devisee, assignee, trustee, servant, contractor or representative of any kind.
- (36) **Film Settlement Amount** means the sum of CAD \$10,000.00.
- (37) **Final Order(s)** means the later of a final judgment entered by a Court approving this Settlement Agreement in accordance with its terms, once the time to appeal such judgment has expired without any appeal being taken, if an appeal lies, or once there has been affirmation of the approval of this Settlement Agreement in accordance with its terms, upon a final disposition of all appeals.
- (38) **Holy Stone** means, collectively and individually, Holy Stone Enterprise Co Ltd., Milestone Global Technology Inc. d/b/a/ Holystone International, Holy Stone Holdings Co. Ltd. and Vishay Polytech Co. Ltd. f/k/a/ Holy Stone Polytech Co. Ltd.
- (39) **Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendant** means any Electrolytic Defendant that is not a Settling Defendant and includes any Electrolytic Defendant that terminates its own settlement agreement in accordance with its terms or whose settlement otherwise fails to take effect for any reason, whether or not such settlement agreement is in existence at the Execution Date.
- (40) **Non-Settling Film Defendant** means any Ontario Film Capacitor Defendant that is not a Settling Defendant and includes any Ontario Film Capacitor Defendant that terminates its own settlement agreement in accordance with its terms or whose settlement otherwise fails to take effect for any reason, whether or not such settlement agreement is in existence at the Execution Date.
- (41) **Non-Settling Defendants** means the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants and the Non-Settling Film Defendants.
- (42) **Notice of Certification and of Approval Hearings** means the form or forms of notice, agreed to by the Plaintiffs and the Settling Defendants, or such other form or forms of notice as may be approved by the Courts, which informs the Settlement Class of: (i) the certification or authorization of the Proceedings as class proceedings for settlement purposes (ii) the dates and locations of the Approval Hearings; and, (iii) the process by which a Settlement Class Member may object to the settlement.

- (43) **Ontario Electrolytic Action** means the proceeding commenced by the Ontario Electrolytic Plaintiffs before the Ontario Court as identified in Schedule A to this Settlement Agreement.
- (44) **Ontario Counsel** means Foreman & Company Professional Corporation.
- (45) **Ontario Court** means the Ontario Superior Court of Justice.
- (46) **Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class** means the settlement class in respect of the Ontario Electrolytic Action that is defined in Schedule A to this Settlement Agreement.
- (47) **Ontario Film Action** means the proceeding commenced by the Ontario Film Plaintiff before the Ontario Court as identified in Schedule A to this Settlement Agreement.
- (48) **Ontario Film Defendants** means the entities named as defendants in the Ontario Film Action as set out in Schedule A to this Settlement Agreement, and any Persons added as defendants in the Ontario Film Action in the future. For greater certainty, Ontario Film Defendants includes, without limitation, the Settling Defendants.
- (49) **Ontario Film Capacitor Plaintiff** means Sean Allott.
- (50) **Ontario Film Settlement Class** means the settlement class in respect of the Ontario Film Action that is defined in Schedule A to this Settlement Agreement.
- (51) **Ontario Film Settlement Class Member(s)** means a member of the Ontario Film Settlement Class.
- (52) **Ontario Electrolytic Plaintiffs** means Cygnus Electronics Corporation and Sean Allott.
- (53) **Other Electrolytic Actions** means any other actions or proceedings, including *McPherson v. Panasonic Corp., et. al.*, commenced in the Court of Queen's Bench for Manitoba, bearing court file number C1-14-01-92235; *Fraser v. Panasonic Corp., et. al.* commenced in Court of Queen's Bench for Saskatchewan bearing court file number Q.B.G. 2150-2014, excluding the Electrolytic Proceedings, relating to Released Electrolytic Claims commenced by an Electrolytic Settlement Class Member either before or after the Effective Date.
- (54) **Other Film Actions** means any other actions or proceedings, excluding the Ontario Film Action, relating to Released Film Claims commenced by an Ontario Film Capacitor Settlement Class Member either before or after the Effective Date.
- (55) **Party and Parties** means the Settling Defendants, the Plaintiffs, and, where necessary, the Settlement Class Members.
- (56) **Person** means an individual, corporation, partnership, limited partnership, limited liability company, association, joint stock company, estate, legal representative, trust, trustee, executor, beneficiary, unincorporated association, government or any political subdivision or agency thereof, and any other business or legal entity and their heirs, predecessors, successors, representatives, or assignees.
- (57) **Plaintiffs** means the Electrolytic Plaintiffs and the Ontario Film Capacitor Plaintiff

- (58) **Proceedings** means the Ontario Electrolytic Action, the Ontario Film Action, the Québec Action, and the BC Action as defined in Schedule A to this Settlement Agreement.
- (59) **Proportionate Liability** means the proportion of any judgment that, had the Settling Defendants not settled, the Ontario or the BC Court, as applicable, would have apportioned to the Settling Defendants and the other Releasees in respect of the Released Electrolytic Claims or Released Film Claims, as applicable.
- (60) **Québec Action** means the proceeding commenced by the Québec Plaintiff before the Québec Court identified in Schedule A to this Settlement Agreement.
- (61) **Québec Counsel** means Belleau Lapointe s.e.n.c.r.l.
- (62) **Québec Court** means the Superior Court of Québec.
- (63) **Québec Plaintiff** means Option consommateurs.
- (64) **Québec Settlement Class** means the settlement class in respect of the Québec Action that is defined in Schedule A to this Settlement Agreement.
- (65) **Recitals** means the recitals to this Settlement Agreement.
- (66) **Released Claims** means the Released Electrolytic Claims and the Released Film Claims.
- (67) **Released Electrolytic Claims** means any and all manner of claims, demands, actions, suits, declaratory relief, causes of action, whether class, individual or otherwise in nature, whether personal or subrogated, damages whenever incurred, liabilities of any nature whatsoever, including interest, costs, expenses, class administration expenses (including Administration Expenses), penalties, and lawyers' fees (including Class Counsel Fees), known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, foreseen or unforeseen, actual or contingent, and liquidated or unliquidated, in law, under statute or in equity, in this or any other Canadian or foreign jurisdiction (all of the foregoing, collectively, "**Claims**" or, individually, a "**Claim**"), that the Electrolytic Releasers, or any of them, whether directly, indirectly, derivatively, or in any other capacity, ever had, now have, or hereafter can, shall or may have, relating in any way to any conduct that is alleged or that could have been alleged in the Electrolytic Proceedings or that is arising from their factual predicate, from the beginning of time until the Effective Date, including, without limitation, any Claims, whether in Canada or elsewhere, as a result of or in connection with an alleged conspiracy or other unlawful agreement or any other horizontal or vertical, or unilateral or coordinated, anti-competitive conduct (whether that conduct occurred in Canada or elsewhere) in connection with the purchase, sale, pricing, discounting, marketing or distributing of Electrolytic Capacitors, whether purchased directly or indirectly in Canada, including as part of a product containing Electrolytic Capacitors during the Electrolytic Class Period, including, without limitation, any claims for consequential, subsequent or follow on harm that arise after the Effective Date in respect of any agreement or conduct arising from the factual predicate of the Electrolytic Proceedings, or any amended complaint or pleading therein, that occurred prior to the Effective Date. For greater certainty, nothing herein shall be construed to release any Claims arising from breach of contract, for negligence, bailment, failure to deliver, lost

goods, delayed, defective or damaged goods or similar claim between the Releasees and Electrolytic Releasors relating to Electrolytic Capacitors.

- (68) **Released Film Claims** means Claims, as defined in the preceding paragraph, that the Film Releasors, or any of them, whether directly, indirectly, derivatively, or in any other capacity, ever had, now have, or hereafter can, shall or may have, relating in any way to any conduct that is alleged or that could have been alleged in the Film Proceedings or that is arising from their factual predicate, from the beginning of time until the Effective Date, including, without limitation, any Claims, whether in Canada or elsewhere, as a result of or in connection with an alleged conspiracy or other unlawful agreement or any other horizontal or vertical, or unilateral or coordinated, anti-competitive conduct (whether that conduct occurred in Canada or elsewhere) in connection with the purchase, sale, pricing, discounting, marketing or distributing of Film Capacitors, whether purchased directly or indirectly in Canada, including as part of a product containing Film Capacitors during the Film Class Period, including, without limitation, any claims for consequential, subsequent or follow on harm that arise after the Effective Date in respect of any agreement or conduct arising from the factual predicate of the Film Proceedings, or any amended complaint or pleading therein, that occurred prior to the Effective Date. For greater certainty, nothing herein shall be construed to release any Claims arising from breach of contract, for negligence, bailment, failure to deliver, lost goods, delayed, defective or damaged goods or similar claim between the Releasees and Film Releasors relating to Film Capacitors.
- (69) **Releasee(s)** means, jointly and severally, individually and collectively, the Settling Defendants and all of their present and former, direct and indirect, parents, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, partners, insurers, and all other Persons, partnerships or corporations with whom any of the former have been, or are now, affiliated and all of their past, present and future officers, directors, employees, agents, shareholders, attorneys, trustees, servants and representatives, and the predecessors, successors, purchasers, heirs, executors, administrators and assigns of each of the foregoing. No other Defendants are Releasees. For greater certainty, Releasee(s) does not include Hitachi Chemical Co. Ltd. and Hitachi AIC Inc.
- (70) **Schedules** mean the schedules to this Settlement Agreement.
- (71) **Settlement Agreement** means this agreement, including the Recitals, and Schedules.
- (72) **Settlement Amount** means the sum of eight hundred thousand Canadian dollars (CAD \$800,000.00), to be paid by Holy Stone, which is the sum of the Electrolytic Settlement Amount and the Film Settlement Amount.
- (73) **Settlement Class(es)** means all Persons included in the Electrolytic Settlement Classes and the Ontario Film Settlement Class.
- (74) **Settlement Class Member(s)** means a member of a Settlement Class.
- (75) **Settling Defendants** mean Holy Stone Enterprise Co., Ltd., Vishay Polytech Co., Ltd. f/k/a Holystone Polytech Co., Ltd., Milestone Global Technology, Inc. d/b/a Holystone International, Holy Stone Holdings Co., Ltd., and Vishay Intertechnology, Inc.

- (76) **Trust Account** means an interest-bearing trust account at a Canadian Schedule 1 bank under the control of Ontario Counsel, for the benefit of the Settlement Class Members or the Settling Defendants, as provided for in this Settlement Agreement.
- (77) **U.S. Litigation** means the direct and indirect purchaser class proceedings in the United States which pertain to film, aluminum and tantalum electrolytic capacitors which have been consolidated and are proceeding as class actions litigation under the general style of cause, for both direct and indirect purchaser class proceedings, In re: *Capacitors Antitrust Litigation*, case number 3:14-cv-03264-JD, U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California.

SECTION 2 SETTLEMENT APPROVAL

2.1 Best Efforts

- (1) The Parties shall use their best efforts to implement this Settlement Agreement and to secure the prompt, complete and final dismissal with prejudice of the Proceedings as against the Settling Defendants who are named as Defendants in the Ontario Electrolytic Action, Ontario Film Action, and BC Action, and a prompt, complete declaration of settlement out of court of the Québec Action as against the Settling Defendants who are named as Defendants in the Québec Action. It is agreed that the Plaintiffs may seek permission from the courts to conduct the approval process of this settlement on a national basis through a coordinated joint hearing before the Courts.

2.2 Motions Seeking Approval of Notice and Certification or Authorization

- (1) Subject to subsection 2.2(3), the Ontario Plaintiffs and BC Plaintiff shall bring motions before the Courts, as soon as practicable after the Execution Date for orders approving the Notice of Certification and of Approval Hearings and certifying or authorizing each of the Proceedings commenced in their respective jurisdictions as a class proceeding as against the Settling Defendants (for settlement purposes only).
- (2) Subject to subsection 2.2(3) the Québec Plaintiff shall bring a motion for authorization to amend the authorized class definition in the Québec Action as against the Settling Defendants (for settlement purposes only) to reflect the Electrolytic Class Period, and for approval of the Notice of Certification and of Approval Hearings, as soon as practicable after the Execution Date.
- (3) The Ontario orders approving the Notice of Certification and of Approval Hearings described in subsection 2.2(1) and certifying the Ontario Electrolytic Action and the Ontario Film Action for settlement purposes shall be proposed to the Ontario Court substantially in the forms respectively attached as Schedules B and C. The form and content of the Québec and BC orders approving the Notice of Certification and of Approval Hearings described in subsection 2.2(1) and (2) and authorizing to amend the authorized class definition in the Québec Action as against the Settling Defendants or certifying the BC Action for settlement purposes shall be agreed upon by the Parties and shall mirror the substance and, where possible, the form of the Ontario order attached as Schedule B, as may be modified by the Ontario Court.

2.3 Motions Seeking Approval of the Settlement

- (1) As soon as practicable after the orders referred to in subsection 2.2(1) and (2) have been granted and the Notice of Certification and of Approval Hearings has been published the Plaintiffs shall bring motions before the Courts for orders approving this Settlement Agreement.
- (2) The Ontario orders approving this Settlement Agreement in the Ontario Electrolytic Action and the Ontario Film Action, shall be proposed to the Ontario Court substantially in the forms respectively attached as Schedules D and E. The Québec and BC orders approving this Settlement Agreement shall be agreed upon by the Parties, shall mirror the substance and, where possible, the form of the Ontario order attached as Schedule D, as may be modified by the Ontario Court.
- (3) This Settlement Agreement shall only become final on the Effective Date.

2.4 Pre-Motion Confidentiality

- (1) Until the first of the motions required by subsection 2.2 is brought, the Parties shall keep all of the terms of the Settlement Agreement confidential and shall not disclose them without the prior consent of Counsel for the Settling Defendants and Class Counsel, as the case may be, except to legal counsel or as required for the purposes of financial reporting, annual reports or the preparation of financial records (including tax returns and financial statements), as necessary to give effect to the terms of this Settlement Agreement, or as otherwise required by law. Notwithstanding the above, it is agreed that the Plaintiffs may disclose the terms of this Settlement Agreement to any Non-Settling Defendant with notice to the Settling Defendants in advance.

SECTION 3 SETTLEMENT BENEFITS

3.1 Payment of Settlement Amount

- (1) Within sixty (60) days of the Execution Date, Holy Stone shall pay the Settlement Amount to Class Counsel for deposit into the Trust Account.
- (2) The Settlement Amount shall be inclusive of all amounts, including interest and costs, and shall be paid in full satisfaction of the Released Claims against the Releasees.
- (3) The Releasees shall have no obligation to pay any amount in addition to the Settlement Amount in respect to the Released Claims, for any reason, pursuant to or in furtherance of this Settlement Agreement or the Proceedings. Without limiting the foregoing, the Releasees shall have no obligation to pay any administrative expenses, class counsel fees or any other amounts in addition to the Settlement Amount.
- (4) Ontario Counsel or its duly appointed agent shall maintain the Trust Account as provided for in this Settlement Agreement.
- (5) Ontario Counsel or its duly appointed agent shall not pay out all or any part of the monies in the Trust Account, except in accordance with this Settlement Agreement, or in accordance with an order of the Courts obtained after notice to the Parties.

3.2 Taxes and Interest

- (1) Except as hereinafter provided, all interest earned on the Settlement Amount shall accrue to the benefit of the Settlement Class and shall become and remain part of the Trust Account.
- (2) Subject to subsection 3.2(3), all taxes payable on any interest which accrues on the Settlement Amount in the Trust Account shall be the responsibility of the Settlement Class. Ontario Counsel or its duly appointed agent shall be solely responsible to fulfill all tax reporting and payment requirements arising from the monies in the Trust Account, including any obligation to report taxable income and make tax payments. All taxes (including interest and penalties) due with respect to the income earned on the monies in the Trust Account shall be paid from the Trust Account.
- (3) The Settling Defendants shall have no responsibility to make any filings relating to the Trust Account and will have no responsibility to pay tax on any income earned by the monies in the Trust Account or pay any taxes on the monies in the Trust Account, unless this Settlement Agreement is not approved, is terminated or otherwise fails to take effect, in which case the interest earned on the Settlement Amount in the Trust Account shall be paid to the Settling Defendants who, in such case, shall be responsible for the payment of all taxes on such interest not previously paid by Ontario Counsel or its duly appointed agent.

SECTION 4 COOPERATION

4.1 Extent of Cooperation

- (1) The co-operation obligations set out in this Settlement Agreement apply only to Holy Stone. No other Settling Defendants have any other cooperation obligations.
- (2) Within thirty (30) days after execution of this Settlement Agreement, or at a time mutually agreed upon by the Parties acting reasonably, Holy Stone shall provide to Class Counsel:
 - (a) copies of all Documents, together with any pre-existing translations of those Documents, produced by Holy Stone to the U.S. Department of Justice and/or in the U.S. Litigation, all to be provided in electronic form if available. Such documents will include sales/transaction data including cost data produced in the U.S. Litigation, which includes transaction data that has "bill to" and "ship to" addresses and names of customers including those in North America, as well as evidence concerning the alleged conspiracy as among the defendants;
 - (b) all deposition transcripts for depositions given by Holy Stone in the U.S. Litigation, including deposition transcripts of any future depositions given Holy Stone in the U.S. Litigation, all to be provided in electronic form if available, redacted as required to comply with applicable protective orders in the U.S. Litigation; and,

- (c) U.S. Litigation Documents will, to the extent possible, be produced with the same document numbers utilized in the U.S. Litigation redacted as required to comply with applicable protective orders in the U.S. Litigation.
- (3) Within thirty (30) days of delivery of the materials set out in 4.1(2), or at a time mutually agreed upon by the parties acting reasonably, Holy Stone shall provide to Class Counsel:
- (a) an oral evidentiary proffer, through a meeting between Class Counsel and Counsel for Holy Stone, including their U.S. Litigation counsel, which will set out Holy Stone's relevant and non-privileged information derived from their investigation and factual inquiries in respect of the matters at issue in the Electrolytic Proceedings, including information derived from business records, testimonial transcripts and employee or witness interviews (if applicable), including, without limitation:
 - (i) their knowledge of how the alleged conspiracy was formed, implemented and enforced;
 - (ii) the identification of "key" documents relevant to the alleged conspiracy and to the conduct of specific Defendants as requested and to the extent known;
 - (iii) answers to Class Counsel's questions concerning the conduct and involvement of specific Defendants, to the extent known, in the alleged conspiracy; and
 - (iv) disclose to Class Counsel the identities and any known particulars of Holy Stone's key former officers, directors and employees who witnessed and/or participated in the alleged conspiracy.
 - (b) The location of the oral evidentiary proffer shall be as mutually agreed by the Parties.
- (4) Within sixty (60) days after the Effective Date, or at a time mutually agreed upon by the parties acting reasonably, Holy Stone shall make available, upon reasonable notice and subject to any legal restrictions, a representative of Holy Stone, and/or Holy Stone legal counsel provided that any legal privilege that could frustrate the delivery of the required cooperation is waived, with relevant knowledge, with a translator, if necessary, for a personal interview with Class Counsel and/or experts retained by Class Counsel at a mutually convenient time for up to 8 hours. Holy Stone's representative and/or legal counsel will make reasonable efforts to inform him or herself about the alleged conspiracy, and Holy Stone will make reasonable efforts to prepare and educate the representative and/or legal counsel about the alleged conspiracy and relevant facts, to the extent they are within the knowledge of Holy Stone, including how the overall alleged conspiracy worked, the identity of Holy Stone's primary Electrolytic Capacitor customers (globally and in North America), and those downstream product(s) to which Electrolytic Capacitors were incorporated. The interview may be recorded by a court reporter under oath, provided the Electrolytic Plaintiffs so elect, and provided reasonable advanced notice of no less than thirty (30) days is given to Holy Stone, but if the witness is legal counsel it is agreed that the interview will not be conducted under oath. The witness

shall be made available at a location to be mutually agreed upon having respect for the cost to the Settling Defendants. Costs incurred by, and the expenses of, the employees of Holy Stone in relation to such interviews, including a translator if translation services are required, shall be the responsibility of Holy Stone;

- (5) Holy Stone agrees to authenticate any of the documents produced in accordance with subsection 4.1(2) to the extent Holy Stone can establish their authenticity and that the Electrolytic Plaintiffs require their authentication for their admission and use at any point in the Electrolytic Proceedings including for the purposes of trial. Plaintiffs acknowledge that Holy Stone does not have control over persons with direct knowledge of the facts of the case and their ability to cooperate is accordingly limited.
- (6) The obligation on Holy Stone to produce and authenticate Documents produced pursuant to subsection 4.1(2) shall be a continuing one only to the extent that additional Documents are provided by Holy Stone to the Canadian Competition Bureau, the U.S. Department of Justice or in the context of the U.S. Litigation regarding Electrolytic Capacitors which is at issue in the Electrolytic Proceedings. Class Counsel and the Electrolytic Plaintiffs shall, in reference to this continuing obligation, consult with Counsel for Holy Stone and seek to utilize the least burdensome, costly and intrusive means for the Settling Defendants to discharge their obligation under this provision.
- (7) Nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall require, or shall be construed to require, the Settling Defendants, or any representative or employee of the Settling Defendants, to disclose or produce any Documents or information that is legally privileged (except where legal privilege has been waived in respect of cooperation provided by legal counsel of the Holy Stone, as contemplated in 4.1(4)) or to disclose or produce any Documents or information in breach of any order, non-disclosure or confidentiality obligation, regulatory directive, rule or law of this or any jurisdiction, it being understood and agreed that no non-disclosure or confidentiality obligation applies or shall apply to prevent the productions contemplated by section 4.1(2)(a) and (c).
- (8) If any of the Documents referenced in 4.1(8) are accidentally or inadvertently disclosed or produced, such Documents shall be promptly returned to Holy Stone and the Documents and the information contained therein shall not be disclosed or used, directly or indirectly, except with the express written permission of Holy Stone, and the production of such Documents shall in no way be construed to have waived in any manner any privilege, doctrine, law, or protection attached to such Documents.
- (9) The obligations of Holy Stone to cooperate as particularized in this subsection 4.1 shall not be affected by the release provisions contained in Section 6 of this Settlement Agreement. Other than the obligation set out at s. 4.1(7), Holy Stone's obligation to cooperate as particularized in this s. 4.1 shall cease once it has fulfilled its obligations as set out in ss. 4.1(2)-(5).
- (10) If Holy Stone materially breaches this Section 4.1, the Electrolytic Plaintiffs may move before the Courts to enforce the terms of this Settlement Agreement or set aside the approval of the Settlement Agreement or a part thereof, and may exercise any rights they have to seek or obtain testimony, discovery, information or Documents from current officers, directors and/or employees of the Settling Defendants.

- (11) Subject to subsections 4.1(11) and (13), the provisions set forth in this subsection 4.1 are the exclusive means by which the Electrolytic Plaintiffs and Class Counsel may obtain discovery, information or Documents from the Releasees, and the Plaintiffs and Class Counsel agree that they shall not pursue any other means of discovery against, or seek to compel the evidence of any of the Releasees, whether in Canada or elsewhere and whether under the rules or laws of this or any other Canadian or foreign jurisdiction.
- (12) For greater clarity, the Electrolytic Plaintiffs do not waive any rights they may have to seek or obtain testimony, discovery, information or Documents from former officers, directors and/or employees of Holy Stone who also are former officers, directors and/or employees of Hitachi Chemical Co. Ltd. or Hitachi AIC Inc.
- (13) A material factor influencing the Settling Defendants' decision to execute this Settlement Agreement is their desire to limit the burden and expense of this litigation. Accordingly, the Electrolytic Plaintiffs agree to exercise good faith in seeking cooperation from Holy Stone, agree not to seek information that is unnecessary, or duplicative and agree otherwise to avoid imposing undue or unreasonable burdens or expense on the Settling Defendants and other Releasees.

4.2 Limits on Use of Documents

- (1) It is understood and agreed that all Documents and information made available or provided by Holy Stone to the Electrolytic Plaintiffs under this Settlement Agreement, shall be used only in connection with the prosecution of the claims in the Electrolytic Proceedings, and shall not be used directly or indirectly for any other purpose, except to the extent that the Documents or information are publicly available. The Electrolytic Plaintiffs and Class Counsel agree they will not disclose the Documents and information provided by Holy Stone except: (i) to experts, consultants or third-party service providers retained by them in connection with the Electrolytic Proceedings who have agreed to comply with the provisions of this Settlement Agreement and any confidentiality orders issued pursuant to subsection 4.2(2); (ii) to the extent that the documents or information are publicly available; (iii) as evidence in the Electrolytic Proceedings; or (iv) as otherwise required by law. Subject to the foregoing, the Electrolytic Plaintiffs and Class Counsel shall take reasonable precautions to ensure and maintain the confidentiality of such Documents and information, and of any work product of Class Counsel that discloses such Documents and information, except to the extent that the Documents and information are publicly available.
- (2) If the Electrolytic Plaintiffs intend to produce for discovery or file in the Electrolytic Proceedings any Documents or other information provided by Holy Stone as cooperation under the Settlement Agreement, the Electrolytic Plaintiffs shall provide Holy Stone with an advance description of the Documents or other information sought to be produced or filed at least thirty (30) days in advance of the proposed production or filing, in order that Holy Stone may move to obtain a sealing or confidentiality order or similar relief. If Holy Stone so moves, the Electrolytic Plaintiffs shall not oppose the position taken by Holy Stone. The Electrolytic Plaintiffs and Class Counsel shall not disclose the confidential Documents or information until Holy Stone's motion has been decided and all applicable appeal periods have expired.
- (3) In the event that a Person applies for an order requiring the Electrolytic Plaintiffs to disclose or produce any Documents or information provided by Holy Stone as

cooperation under this Settlement Agreement, the Electrolytic Plaintiffs shall notify Holy Stone of such application promptly upon becoming aware of it and no later than ten (10) days after disclosure or production is sought, in order that Holy Stone may move to oppose such disclosure or production. In no circumstances shall the Electrolytic Plaintiffs or Class Counsel apply for or consent to such an application for disclosure or production.

4.3 Intervention in the U.S. Litigation

- (1) In the event that the Settling Defendants receive notice of further depositions of their current or former employees in the U.S. Litigation, the Settling Defendants will notify the Electrolytic Plaintiffs of the dates of these depositions being scheduled. The Settling Defendants and other Releasees shall not oppose any application that may be brought by or on behalf of the Electrolytic Plaintiffs to intervene in the U.S. Litigation solely in order to gain access to discovery, depositions, documents and other Documents and information subject to a protective order that are relevant to the Electrolytic Proceedings and is not otherwise inconsistent with the terms of this Settlement Agreement, including subsection 4.1(11). However it is understood and agreed that neither the Settling Defendants nor the other Releasees have any obligation to bring or otherwise participate in such an application.

SECTION 5

DISTRIBUTION OF THE SETTLEMENT AMOUNT AND ACCRUED INTEREST

5.1 Distribution Protocol

- (1) After the Effective Date, at a time wholly within the discretion of Class Counsel, but on notice to the Settling Defendants, Class Counsel will make an application seeking orders from the Courts approving the Distribution Protocol.

SECTION 6

RELEASES AND DISMISSALS

6.1 Release of Releasees

- (1) Upon the Effective Date, subject to subsection 6.2, in consideration of payment of the Electrolytic Settlement Amount, whether or not any individual Electrolytic Releasor collects such payment, and for other valuable consideration set forth in this Settlement Agreement, the Electrolytic Releasors forever and absolutely release and forever discharge the Releasees from the Released Electrolytic Claims.
- (2) Upon the Effective Date, subject to subsection 6.2, in consideration of payment of the Film Settlement Amount, whether or not any individual Film Releasor collects such payment, and for other valuable consideration set forth in this Settlement Agreement, the Film Releasors forever and absolutely release and forever discharge the Releasees from the Released Film Claims.

6.2 Covenant Not to Sue

- (1) Notwithstanding subsection 6.1, upon the Effective Date, for any Settlement Class Members resident in any province or territory where the release of one tortfeasor is a release of all other tortfeasors, the Electrolytic Releasors and Film Releasors do not

release the Releasees, but instead covenant and undertake not to make any claim in any way or to threaten, commence, participate in or continue any proceeding in any jurisdiction against the Releasees in respect of or in relation to the Released Electrolytic Claims or the Released Film Claims, as the case may be.

6.3 No Further Claims

- (1) The Electrolytic Releasors, Film Releasors, and Class Counsel shall not now or hereafter institute, continue, provide assistance for or maintain or assert, either directly or indirectly, whether in Canada or elsewhere, on their own behalf or on behalf of any class or any other Person, any action suit, cause of action, claim or demand against any Releasee, or against any other Person who may claim contribution or indemnity or other claims over relief from any Releasee, in respect of any Released Electrolytic Claim or Released Film Claim, as the case may be, except for the continuation of the Proceedings against the Non-Settling Defendants or unnamed co-conspirators that are not Releasees or, if the Proceedings are not certified or authorized, the continuation of the claims asserted in the Proceedings on an individual basis or otherwise against any Non-Settling Defendants or unnamed alleged co-conspirator that is not a Releasee.
- (2) Subsection 6.3 shall be inoperative to the extent that it requires any lawyer who is a member of the Law Society of British Columbia to breach his or her obligations under section 3.2-10 of the Law Society of British Columbia's Code of Professional Conduct for British Columbia by refraining from participation or involvement in any claim or action in a British Columbia court.

6.4 Dismissal of the Proceedings

- (1) Upon the Effective Date, the Ontario Electrolytic Action, the Ontario Film Action and the BC Action shall be dismissed, with prejudice and without costs, as against the Settling Defendants who are named as Defendants in the Ontario Electrolytic Action, the Ontario Film Action and the BC Action.
- (2) Upon the Effective Date, the Québec Action shall be settled, without costs as against the Settling Defendants who are named as Defendants in the Québec Action, and the Parties shall sign and file a declaration of settlement out of court in the Québec Court in respect of the Québec Action.

6.5 Dismissal of Other Actions

- (1) Upon the Effective Date, each member of the Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class and BC Settlement Class shall be deemed to irrevocably consent to the dismissal, without costs and with prejudice, of his, her or its Other Electrolytic Actions against the Releasees.
- (2) Upon the Effective Date, each member of the Ontario Film Capacitor Settlement Class shall be deemed to irrevocably consent to the dismissal, without costs and with prejudice, of his, her or its Other Film Actions against the Releasees.
- (3) Upon the Effective Date, all Other Electrolytic Actions commenced in British Columbia or Ontario by any Electrolytic Settlement Class Member shall be dismissed against the Releasees, without costs and with prejudice.

- (4) Upon the Effective Date, all Other Film Actions commenced in Ontario by any Ontario Film Capacitor Class Member shall be dismissed against the Releasees, without costs and with prejudice.
- (5) Each person who would have been a member of the Québec Settlement Class but who has opted out in accordance with the second paragraph of Article 580 of the Québec Code of Civil Procedure and who makes a claim and receives benefits under this Settlement Agreement shall be deemed to irrevocably consent to the dismissal, without costs and without reservation, of his, her or its Other Actions against the Releasees.
- (6) Each Other Action commenced in Québec by a person who would have been a member of the Québec Settlement Class but who has opted out in accordance with the second paragraph of Article 580 of the Québec Code of Civil Procedure and who makes a claim and receives benefits under this Settlement Agreement shall be dismissed as against the Releasees, without costs and without reservation.

6.6 Obligation of Class Counsel Regarding Released Claims and Other Actions

- (1) If, at any time after the Effective Date, Class Counsel becomes aware of any steps being taken in any Other Electrolytic Action or Other Film Action to advance, prosecute or litigate Released Electrolytic Claims or Released Film Claims against one or more of the Releasees, Class Counsel shall, on notice to the Settling Defendants seek prompt and appropriate case management steps in order to uphold this Settlement Agreement and to otherwise prevent interference with the progress of the Proceedings.

SECTION 7 BAR ORDER AND WAIVER OF SOLIDARITY

7.1 Ontario and British Columbia Bar Order

- (1) The Electrolytic Plaintiffs and the Settling Defendants agree that the orders in the Ontario Electrolytic Action and the BC Action approving this Settlement Agreement must include a bar order in respect of the Ontario Electrolytic Action and the BC Action which includes the following terms:
 - (a) a provision that all claims for contribution, indemnity or other claims over, whether asserted, unasserted, or asserted in a representative capacity, inclusive of interest, taxes and costs relating to the Released Electrolytic Claims, which were or could have been brought in the Electrolytic Proceedings or otherwise, or could in the future be brought on the basis of the same events, actions and omissions underlying the Electrolytic Proceedings, by any Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants, any named or unnamed alleged co-conspirator that is not a Releasee or any other Person or party against a Releasee, or by a Releasee against any Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants or any named or unnamed alleged co-conspirator that is not a Releasee, are barred, prohibited and enjoined in accordance with the terms of this section (unless such claim is made in respect of a claim by a person who has validly opted- out of the Proceedings);

- (b) a provision that if the Ontario Court or BC Court, as applicable, ultimately determines that there is a right of contribution and indemnity or other claim over, whether in equity or in law, by statute or otherwise:
- (i) the Ontario Electrolytic Plaintiffs or BC Plaintiff, as applicable, and the Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class or BC Settlement Class, as applicable, shall not be entitled to claim or recover from the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants and/or named or unnamed alleged co-conspirators and/or any other Person or party that is not a Releasee that portion of any damages (including punitive damages, if any), restitutionary award, disgorgement of profits, interest and costs (including investigative costs claimed pursuant to section 36 of the *Competition Act*) that corresponds to the Proportionate Liability of the Releasees relating to the Released Electrolytic Claims proven at trial or otherwise;
 - (ii) the Ontario Electrolytic Plaintiffs or BC Plaintiff, as applicable, and the Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class or BC Settlement Class, as applicable, shall limit their claims against the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants and/or, named or unnamed alleged co-conspirators and/or, any other Person or party that is not a Releasee, to include, and shall be entitled to recover from the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants and/or, named or unnamed alleged co-conspirators and/or, any other Person or party that is not a Releasee, only such claims for damages (including punitive damages, if any), restitutionary award, disgorgement of profits, interest and costs (including investigation costs claimed pursuant to section 36 of the *Competition Act*) attributable to the aggregate of the several liability of the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants and/or, named or unnamed alleged co-conspirators and/or, any other Person or party that is not a Releasee, to the Ontario Electrolytic Plaintiffs or BC Plaintiff, as applicable, and the Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class or BC Settlement Class, as applicable, if any, and, for greater certainty, the Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class or BC Settlement Class shall be entitled to seek to recover such damages (including punitive damages, if any), restitutionary award, disgorgement of profits, interest and costs (including investigation costs claimed pursuant to section 36 of the *Competition Act*) on a joint and several basis as between the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants and/or, named or unnamed alleged co-conspirators and/or, any other Person or party that is not a Releasee, if permitted by law.; and
 - (iii) the Ontario Court and the BC Court, as applicable, shall have full authority to determine the Proportionate Liability of the Releasees relating to the Released Electrolytic Claims at the trial or other disposition of the Ontario Electrolytic Action or BC Action, as applicable, whether or not the Settling Defendants remain in the Ontario Electrolytic Action or appear at the trial or other disposition, and the Proportionate Liability of the Releasees relating to the Released Electrolytic Claims shall be determined as if the Releasees are parties to the Ontario Electrolytic Action or BC Action, as applicable, and any determination by the Court in respect of the Proportionate Liability of the Releasees shall only apply in

the Ontario Electrolytic Action or BC Action, as applicable, and shall not be binding on the Releasees in any other proceeding.

- (c) A provision that nothing in the British Columbia and Ontario orders approving this Settlement Agreement, as applicable, shall limit, restrict or affect any arguments which the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants may make regarding the reduction of any assessment of damages (including punitive damages, if any), restitutionary award, disgorgement of profits, interest and costs (including investigation costs claimed pursuant to section 36 of the *Competition Act*), or judgment against them in favour of members of the Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class or BC Settlement Class, as applicable, in the Ontario Electrolytic Action or BC Action, as applicable.
 - (d) A provision that a Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendant may, on motion to the Ontario Court or BC Court, as applicable, and on at least ten (10) days' notice to Counsel for the Settling Defendants, and not to be brought until the Ontario Electrolytic Action or BC Action, as applicable, against the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants has been certified as a class proceeding (but not including any certification for settlement purposes), seek Orders for the following:
 - (i) documentary discovery and an affidavit of documents (list of documents in British Columbia) from the Settling Defendants in accordance with the relevant rules of civil procedure;
 - (ii) oral discovery of a representative of the Settling Defendants, the transcript of which may be read in at trial;
 - (iii) leave to serve a request to admit (notice to admit in British Columbia) on the Settling Defendants in respect of factual matters; and/or
 - (iv) the production of a representative of the Settling Defendants to testify at trial, with such witness to be subject to cross-examination by counsel for the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants.
 - (e) A provision that the Settling Defendants retain all rights to oppose such motion(s) brought pursuant to subsection 7.1(1)(d). Moreover, nothing herein restricts the Settling Defendants from seeking a protective order to maintain confidentiality and protection of proprietary information in respect of Documents to be produced and/or for information obtained from discovery in accordance with subsection 7.1(1)(d). Notwithstanding any provision in the Ontario and British Columbia orders approving this Settlement Agreement, on any motion brought pursuant to subsection 7.1(1)(d), the Ontario Court or BC Court, as applicable, may make such orders as to costs and other terms as it considers appropriate.
 - (f) A provision that a Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendant may serve the motion(s) referred to in subsection 7.1(1)(d) on the Settling Defendants by service on Counsel for the Settling Defendants in the relevant Proceeding.
- (2) To the extent that such an order is granted pursuant to subsection 7.1(1)(d) and discovery is provided to the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants, a copy of all discovery provided, whether oral or documentary in nature, shall be provided by the Settling

Defendants to the Plaintiffs and Class Counsel within ten (10) days of such discovery being provided to a Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants(s).

- (3) The Plaintiffs and the Settling Defendants agree that the orders in the Ontario Film Action approving this Settlement Agreement must include a bar order in respect of the Ontario Film Action in the same form contemplated by 7.1(1) and (2), with necessary modification.

7.2 Québec Waiver or Renunciation of Solidarity Order

- (1) The Electrolytic Plaintiffs and the Settling Defendants agree that the Québec order approving this Settlement Agreement must include a waiver or renunciation of solidarity in respect of the Québec Action which includes the following:
 - (a) the Québec Plaintiff and the Québec Settlement Class expressly waive and renounce the benefit of solidarity against the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants with respect to the facts, deeds or other conduct of the Releasees relating to the Released Claims;
 - (b) the Québec Plaintiff and the Québec Settlement Class shall henceforth only be able to claim and recover damages, including punitive damages, interest and costs (including investigative costs claimed pursuant to section 36 of the *Competition Act*) attributable to the conduct of the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants, the sales by the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants, and/or other applicable measure of proportionate liability of the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants.
 - (c) any claims in warranty or any other claim or joinder of parties to obtain any contribution or indemnity from the Releasees relating to the Released Claims shall be inadmissible and void in the context of the Québec Action; and
 - (d) the ability of Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants to seek discovery from the Settling Defendants shall be determined according to the provisions of the Code of Civil Procedure, and the Settling Defendants shall retain and reserve all of their rights to oppose such discovery under the Code of Civil Procedure.

7.3 Claims Against Other Entities Reserved

- (1) Except as provided herein, this Settlement Agreement does not settle, compromise, release or limit in any way whatsoever any claim by Settlement Class Members against any Person other than the Releasees.

SECTION 8 EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT

8.1 No Admission of Liability or Attornment

- (1) The Parties expressly reserve all of their rights if this Settlement Agreement is not approved, is terminated or otherwise fails to take effect for any reason. The Parties further agree that, whether or not this Settlement Agreement is finally approved, is terminated, or otherwise fails to take effect for any reason, this Settlement Agreement

and anything contained herein, and any and all negotiations, Documents, discussions and proceedings associated with this Settlement Agreement, and any action taken to carry out this Settlement Agreement, shall not be deemed, construed, or interpreted to be and expressly is not an admission of any violation of any statute or law, or of any wrongdoing or liability by any of the Releasees, or of the truth of any of the claims or allegations contained in the Proceedings, or any other pleading filed by the Plaintiffs or any other Settlement Class Member.

- (2) The Parties further agree that, whether or not this Settlement Agreement is finally approved, is terminated, or otherwise fails to take effect for any reason, this Settlement Agreement and anything contained herein, and any and all negotiations, Documents, discussions and proceedings associated with this Settlement Agreement, and any action taken to carry out this Settlement Agreement, shall not be deemed, construed, or interpreted to be and expressly is not an attornment to the jurisdiction of any court or an admission with respect to the jurisdiction of any court.

8.2 Agreement Not Evidence

- (1) The Parties agree that, whether or not it is not approved, is terminated or otherwise fails to take effect for any reason, this Settlement Agreement and anything contained herein, and any and all negotiations, Documents, discussions and proceedings associated with this Settlement Agreement, and any action taken to carry out this Settlement Agreement, shall not be referred to, offered as evidence or received in evidence in any pending or future civil, criminal or administrative action or proceeding, except in a proceeding to approve and/or enforce this Settlement Agreement, or to defend against the assertion of Released Claims, or as otherwise required by law or as provided in this Settlement Agreement.

SECTION 9 CERTIFICATION OR AUTHORIZATION FOR SETTLEMENT ONLY

- (1) The Parties agree that the Proceedings shall be certified or authorized as class proceedings as against the Settling Defendants solely for purposes of settlement of the Proceedings and the approval of this Settlement Agreement by the Courts, and such certification or authorization shall not be used or relied on as against the Defendants for any other purpose or in any other proceeding.
- (2) The Plaintiffs agree that, in the motions for certification or authorization of the Proceedings as class proceedings for settlement purposes and for the approval of this Settlement Agreement, the only common issues that they will seek to define are the Common Electrolytic Issue and the Common Film Issue, and the only classes that they will assert are the Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class, the Ontario Film Settlement Class, the Québec Settlement Class and the BC Settlement Class.
- (3) The Parties agree that the certification or authorization of the Proceedings as against the Settling Defendants for the purpose of implementing this Settlement Agreement, shall not derogate in any way from the rights of the Plaintiffs as against the Non-Settling Defendants, except as expressly set out in this Settlement Agreement.

**SECTION 10
NOTICE TO SETTLEMENT CLASS**

10.1 Notices Required

- (1) The proposed Settlement Class shall be given the following notice: (i) Notice of Certification and of Approval Hearings; and (ii) notice of termination (if the Settlement Agreement is terminated or otherwise fails to take effect).

10.2 Form and Distribution of Notices

- (1) The form of the notices referred to in subsection 10.1 and how and where they are published and distributed shall be as agreed to by the Plaintiffs and the Settling Defendants and, failing agreement, as ordered by the Courts.
- (2) The Plaintiffs shall bring and seek to schedule motions before the Courts seeking orders from the Courts approving the notices described in subsection 10.1. The Plaintiffs may determine the time of these motions in their full and complete discretion, after consultation with the Settling Defendants, and subject to subsection 2.2.

**SECTION 11
ADMINISTRATION AND IMPLEMENTATION**

11.1 Mechanics of Administration

- (1) Except to the extent provided for in this Settlement Agreement, the mechanics of the implementation and administration of this Settlement Agreement and the Distribution Protocol shall be determined by the Courts on motions brought by Class Counsel at a time within the discretion of Class Counsel, except that the timing of the motions to approve the Settlement Agreement shall be determined after consultation with the Settling Defendants, and subject to subsection 2.3.

**SECTION 12
CLASS COUNSEL FEES, DISBURSEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATION EXPENSES**

- (1) The Releasees shall not be liable for any fees, disbursements or taxes of any of Class Counsel's, the Plaintiffs' or Settlement Class Members' respective lawyers, experts, advisors, agents, or representatives.
- (2) Class Counsel shall pay the costs of the notices required by subsection 10.1 and any costs of translation required by subsection 14.12 from the Trust Account, as they become due.
- (3) Class Counsel may seek the Courts' approval to pay Class Counsel Fees contemporaneously with seeking approval of this Settlement Agreement. Class Counsel's court-approved fees shall be paid after the Effective Date.
- (4) Except as provided herein, Administration Expenses may only be paid out of the Trust Account after the Effective Date.

SECTION 13 NON-APPROVAL OR TERMINATION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

13.1 Right of Termination

- (1) In the event that:
 - (a) any Court declines to certify or authorize the Settlement Class;
 - (b) the Ontario Court or the BC Court declines to dismiss the Proceedings against the Settling Defendants who are named as Defendants or the Québec Action is not fully settled out of court as against the Settling Defendants who are named as Defendants;
 - (c) any Court declines to approve this Settlement Agreement or any material term thereof, and the Parties agree that the releases, bar orders, waiver or renunciation of solidarity and covenants not to sue contemplated by this Settlement Agreement are all material terms;
 - (d) any Court approves this Settlement Agreement in a materially modified form;
 - (e) the Parties acting reasonably do not reach agreement on the form and content of any order required by this Settlement Agreement, or the order agreed by the Parties is approved by a Court in a materially modified form; or
 - (f) any order approving this Settlement Agreement made by the Courts do not become Final Orders;

the Settling Defendants and the Plaintiffs shall have the right to terminate this Settlement Agreement by delivering a written notice pursuant to subsection 14.18, within thirty (30) days following the event described above. Except as provided for in subsection 13.4, if the Settling Defendants or the Plaintiffs exercise their right to terminate, the Settlement Agreement shall be null and void and have no further force or effect, shall not be binding on the Parties, and shall not be used as evidence or otherwise in any litigation.

- (2) Any order, ruling or determination made by any Court with respect to Class Counsel Fees or the Distribution Protocol, shall not be deemed to be a material modification of all, or a part, of this Settlement Agreement and shall not provide any basis for the termination of this Settlement Agreement.

13.2 Effect of Non-Approval or Termination of Settlement Agreement

- (1) If this Settlement Agreement is not approved, is terminated in accordance with its terms or otherwise fails to take effect for any reason:
 - (a) no motion to certify or authorize any of the Proceedings as a class proceeding on the basis of this Settlement Agreement, or to approve this Settlement Agreement, which has not been decided, shall proceed;
 - (b) any order certifying or authorizing a Proceeding as a class proceeding on the basis of the Settlement Agreement or approving this Settlement Agreement shall

be set aside and declared null and void and of no force or effect, and anyone shall be estopped from asserting otherwise;

- (c) any prior certification or authorization of a Proceeding as a class proceeding on the basis of this Settlement Agreement, including the definitions of the Settlement Class and the Common Issues pursuant to this Settlement Agreement, shall be without prejudice to any position that any of the Parties may later take on any issue in the Proceedings or any other litigation including the rights of the Settling Defendants to challenge the jurisdiction of the courts; and
- (d) within ten (10) days of such termination having occurred, Class Counsel shall destroy all Documents or other materials provided by the Settling Defendants under this Settlement Agreement or containing or reflecting information derived from such Documents or other materials received from the Settling Defendants, including any notes or work product of Class Counsel, and, to the extent Class Counsel has disclosed any Documents or information provided by the Settling Defendants or related notes or work product of Class Counsel to any other Person, shall recover and destroy such Documents or material. Class Counsel shall provide Counsel for the Settling Defendants with a written certification by Class Counsel of such destruction within ten (10) days of termination.

13.3 Allocation of Settlement Amount Following Termination

- (1) if the Settlement Agreement is terminated, Ontario Counsel shall, within thirty (30) business days of the written notice advising that the Settlement Agreement has been terminated in accordance with its terms, return to the Settling Defendants the Settlement Amount, plus all accrued interest thereon and less taxes paid on interest, any costs incurred with respect to the notices required by subsection 10.1, and any costs of translation required by subsection 14.12.

13.4 Survival of Provisions After Termination

- (1) If this Settlement Agreement is terminated or otherwise fails to take effect for any reason, the provisions of subsections 3.2(3), 8.1, 8.2, 10.1, 10.2, 13.1, 13.2, 13.3, 13.4, 14.5 and 14.6 and the definitions and Schedules applicable thereto shall survive the termination and continue in full force and effect. The definitions and Schedules shall survive only for the limited purpose of the interpretation of subsections 3.2(3), 8.1, 8.2, 10.1, 10.2, 13.1, 13.2, 13.3, 13.4, 14.5 and 14.6 within the meaning of this Settlement Agreement, but for no other purposes. All other provisions of this Settlement Agreement and all other obligations pursuant to this Settlement Agreement shall cease immediately.

SECTION 14 MISCELLANEOUS

14.1 Motions for Directions

- (1) Class Counsel or the Settling Defendants may apply to the Courts as may be required for directions in respect of the interpretation, implementation and administration of this Settlement Agreement. Unless the Courts order otherwise, motions for directions that do not relate specifically to matters affecting the Québec Action or the BC Action shall be determined by the Ontario Court.

- (2) All motions contemplated by this Settlement Agreement shall be on notice to the Parties except for those motions concerned solely with the implementation and administration of the Distribution Protocol.

14.2 Releasees Have No Liability for Administration

- (1) The Releasees have no responsibility for and no liability whatsoever with respect to the administration of the Settlement Agreement or Distribution Protocol.

14.3 Headings, etc.

- (1) In this Settlement Agreement:
 - (a) the division of the Settlement Agreement into sections and subsections and the insertion of headings are for convenience of reference only and shall not affect the construction or interpretation of this Settlement Agreement; and
 - (b) the terms “this Settlement Agreement”, “hereof”, “hereunder”, “herein”, and similar expressions refer to this Settlement Agreement and not to any particular section, subsection, or other portion of this Settlement Agreement.

14.4 Computation of Time

- (1) In the computation of time in this Settlement Agreement, except where a contrary intention appears:
 - (a) where there is a reference to a number of days between two events, they shall be counted by excluding the day on which the first event happens and including the day on which the second event happens, including all calendar days; and
 - (b) only in the case where the time for doing an act expires on a holiday as “holiday” is defined in the Rules of Civil Procedure, RRO 1990, Reg 194, the act may be done on the next day that is not a holiday.

14.5 Ongoing Jurisdiction

- (1) Subject to section 8.1(2), each of the Courts shall retain exclusive jurisdiction over the action commenced in its jurisdiction and the Parties thereto.
- (2) The Parties agree that no Court shall make any order or give any direction in respect of any matter of shared jurisdiction unless that order or direction is conditional upon a complementary order or direction being made or given by the other Court(s) with which it shares jurisdiction over that matter.
- (3) Notwithstanding subsections 14.5(1) and 14.5(2) the Ontario Court shall exercise jurisdiction with respect to implementation, administration, interpretation and enforcement of the terms of this Settlement Agreement. Issues related to the administration of this Settlement Agreement, the Trust Account, and other matters not specifically related to the claim of a Québec Settlement Class member or a BC Settlement Class member shall be determined by the Ontario Court.

14.6 Governing Law

- (1) This Settlement Agreement shall be governed by and construed and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario.

14.7 Entire Agreement

- (1) This Settlement Agreement constitutes the entire agreement among the Parties, and supersedes all prior and contemporaneous understandings, undertakings, negotiations, representations, promises, agreements, agreements in principle and memoranda of understanding in connection herewith. None of the Parties will be bound by any prior obligations, conditions or representations with respect to the subject matter of this Settlement Agreement, unless expressly incorporated herein.

14.8 Amendments

- (1) This Settlement Agreement may not be modified or amended except in writing and on consent of all Parties, and any such modification or amendment must be approved by the Courts with jurisdiction over the matter to which the amendment relates.

14.9 Binding Effect

- (1) This Settlement Agreement shall be binding upon, and enure to the benefit of, the Plaintiffs, the Settlement Class Members, the Settling Defendants, the Releasors, the Releasees and all of their successors and assigns. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, each and every covenant and agreement made by the Plaintiffs shall be binding upon all Releasors and every covenant and agreement made by the Settling Defendants shall be binding upon all of the Releasees.

14.10 Counterparts

- (1) This Settlement Agreement may be executed in counterparts, all of which taken together will be deemed to constitute one and the same agreement, and a facsimile or PDF signature shall be deemed an original signature for purposes of executing this Settlement Agreement.

14.11 Negotiated Agreement

- (1) This Settlement Agreement has been the subject of negotiations and discussions among the undersigned, each of which has been represented and advised by competent counsel, so that any statute, case law, or rule of interpretation or construction that would or might cause any provision to be construed against the drafter of this Settlement Agreement shall have no force and effect. The Parties further agree that the language contained in or not contained in previous drafts of this Settlement Agreement, or any agreement in principle, shall have no bearing upon the proper interpretation of this Settlement Agreement.

14.12 Language

- (1) The Parties acknowledge that they have required and consented that this Settlement Agreement and all related Documents be prepared in English; les parties reconnaissent

avoir exigé que la présente convention et tous les documents connexes soient rédigés en anglais. Nevertheless, if required by the Courts, Class Counsel and/or a translation firm selected by Class Counsel shall prepare a French translation of the Settlement Agreement, the cost of which shall be paid from the Settlement Amount. In the event of any dispute as to the interpretation or application of this Settlement Agreement, only the English version shall govern.

14.13 Transaction

- (1) This Settlement Agreement constitutes a transaction in accordance with Articles 2631 and following of the *Civil Code of Québec*, and the Parties are hereby renouncing any errors of fact, of law, and/or of calculation.

14.14 Recitals

- (1) The Recitals to this Settlement Agreement are true and form part of the Settlement Agreement.

14.15 Schedules

- (1) The Schedules annexed hereto form part of this Settlement Agreement.

14.16 Acknowledgements

- (1) Each of the undersigned hereby affirms and acknowledges that:
 - (a) he, she or a representative of the Party with the authority to bind the Party with respect to the matters set forth herein has read and understood this Settlement Agreement;
 - (b) the terms of this Settlement Agreement and the effects thereof have been fully explained to him, her or the Party's representative by his, her or its counsel;
 - (c) he, she or the Party's representative fully understands each term of this Settlement Agreement and its effect; and
 - (d) no Party has relied upon any statement, representation or inducement (whether material, false, negligently made or otherwise) of any other Party, beyond the terms of this Settlement Agreement, with respect to the first Party's decision to execute this Settlement Agreement.

14.17 Authorized Signatures

- (1) Each of the undersigned represents that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of, and to execute, this Settlement Agreement on behalf of the Parties identified above their respective signatures and their law firms.

14.18 Notice

- (1) Where this Settlement Agreement requires a Party to provide notice or any other communication or document to another, such notice, communication or document shall

be provided by e-mail, facsimile or letter by overnight delivery to the representatives for the Party to whom notice is being provided, as identified below:

FOR THE PLAINTIFFS AND CLASS COUNSEL:

Foreman & Company
c/o Jonathan Foreman
4 Covent Market Place
London, Ontario N6A 1E2

Tel: (519) 914-1175
Fax: (226) 884-5340

E-mail: jforeman@foremancompany.com

Camp Fiorante Matthews Mogerman LLP
c/o David G.A. Jones
4th Floor, Randall Bldg
555 West Georgia St.
Vancouver, BC V6B 1Z6

Tel.: (604) 331-9530
Fax: (604) 689-7554

E-mail: djones@cfmlawyers.ca

Belleau Lapointe s.e.n.c.r.l.
c/o Maxime Nasr
300 Place d'Youville, Office B-10
Montreal, Québec H2Y 2B6

Tel: (514) 987-6700
Fax: (514) 987-6886

E-mail: mnasr@belleaulapointe.com

FOR THE SETTLING DEFENDANTS:

MCCARTHY TÉTRAULT LLP
do Donald Houston and Gillian Kerr
Suite 5300, TD Bank Tower
P.O. Box 48, 66 Wellington Street West
Toronto, ON M5K 1E6

Tel: (416) 362-1812
Fax: (416) 868-0673

E-mail: dhouston@mccarthy.ca,
gkerr@mccarthy.ca

14.19 Date of Execution

(1) The Parties have executed this Settlement Agreement as of the date on the cover page.

Cygnus Electronics and Sean Allott, by their counsel

Name of Authorized Signatory: Jonathan Foreman

Signature of Authorized Signatory: 
Foreman & Company
Ontario Counsel

Sara Ramsay, by her counsel

Name of Authorized Signatory: Per: Jonathan Foreman

Signature of Authorized Signatory: 
Camp Fiorante Matthews Mogerma LLP
BC Counsel


Option consommateurs, by its counsel

Name of Authorized Signatory: Maxime Napp

Signature of Authorized Signatory: 
Belleau Lapointe s.e.n.c.r.l
Québec Counsel

Holy Stone Enterprise Co., Ltd., Vishay Polytech Co., Ltd. f/k/a Holystone Polytech Co., Ltd., Milestone Global Technology, Inc. d/b/a Holystone International, Holy Stone Holdings Co., Ltd., and Vishay Intertechnology Inc.

Name of Authorized Signatory: Donald Houston

Signature of Authorized Signatory: 
(I have authority to bind Holy Stone Enterprise Co., Ltd., Vishay Polytech Co., Ltd. f/k/a Holystone Polytech Co., Ltd., Milestone Global Technology, Inc. d/b/a Holystone International, Holy Stone Holdings Co., Ltd., and Vishay Intertechnology Inc.)

**SCHEDULE A
PROCEEDINGS**

Proceeding	Plaintiff(s)	Defendants	Settlement Class
<p>Ontario Superior Court of Justice Court File No. 3795/14 CP (the “Ontario Electrolytic Action”)</p>	<p>Cygnus Electronics Corporation and Sean Allott</p>	<p>Panasonic Corporation; Panasonic Corporation of North America; Panasonic Canada Inc.; Sanyo Electric Co., Ltd.; NEC Tokin Corporation; NEC Tokin American Inc.; KEMET Corporation; KEMET Electronics Corporation; Nippon Chem-Con Corporation; United Chemi-Con Corporation; Hitachi Chemical Co., Ltd.; Hitachi Chemical Company America, Ltd.; Hitachi Chemical Co., Ltd.; Hitachi Canada; Nichicon Corporation; Nichicon (America) Corporation; AVX Corporation; Rubycon Corporation; Rubycon America Inc.; Elna Co., Ltd.; Elna America Inc.; Matsuo Electric Co., Ltd.; Toshin Kogyo Co., Ltd.; Samsung Electro-Mechanics; Samsung Electro-Mechanics America Inc.; Samsung Electronics Canada Inc.; ROHM Co., Ltd.; ROHM Semiconductor U.S.A., LLC; Hitachi AIC Inc.; Hitachi Chemical Electronics Co., Ltd.; FPCAP Electronics (Suzhou) Co., Ltd.; Fujitsu Ltd.; Fujitsu Canada, Inc.; Holy Stone Enterprise Co., Ltd.; Vishay Polytech Co., Ltd. f/k/a Holystone Polytech Co., Ltd.; Milestone Global Technology, Inc. d/b/a Holystone International; Holy Stone Holdings Co., Ltd.</p>	<p>All Persons and entities in Canada who purchased Electrolytic Capacitors or a product containing an Electrolytic Capacitor during the Electrolytic Class Period other than (1) all BC Settlement Class members (2) all Québec Settlement Class members and (3) Excluded Persons.</p>
<p>Québec Superior Court (District of Montreal), File No. 500-06-000704-14 4 (the “Québec Action”)</p>	<p>Option consommateurs</p>	<p>Panasonic Corporation; Sanyo Electric Group Ltd.; NEC Tokin Corporation; Nippon Chemi-Con Corporation; Hitachi Chemical Co. Ltd.; Nichicon Corporation; Hitachi AIC Inc.; Elna Co. Ltd.; Holy Stone Enterprise Co., Ltd.; Holy Stone Holdings Co., Ltd.; Matsuo Electric Co., Ltd.; Rohm Co., Ltd.; Rubycon Corporation; Toshin Kogyo Co., Ltd.</p>	<p>All Persons and entities who purchased in Québec Electrolytic Capacitors or a product containing an Electrolytic Capacitor during the Electrolytic Class Period except Excluded Persons.</p>

Proceeding	Plaintiff(s)	Defendants	Settlement Class
<p>British Columbia Supreme Court (Vancouver Registry) Court File No. S-146293 (the "BC Action")</p>	<p>Sara Ramsay</p>	<p>Panasonic Corporation f/k/a/ Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.; Panasonic Corporation of North America; Panasonic Canada Inc.; Sanyo Electric Co., Ltd.; Sanyo Electronic Device (U.S.A.) Corp.; Sanyo North America Corporation; Taiyo Yuden Co., Ltd.; Taiyo Yuden (USA) Inc.; NEC Tokin Corporation; NEC Tokin America, Inc.; KEMET Electronics Corporation; Nippon Chemi-Con Corporation; United Chemi-Con, Inc.; Hitachi Chemical Co., Ltd.; Hitachi AIC Inc.; Hitachi Chemical Co. America, Ltd.; Hitachi Canada; Fujitsu Ltd.; Fujitsu Canada, Inc.; Nichicon Corporation; FPCAP Electronics (Suzhou) Co., Ltd.; Nichicon (America) Corporation; AVX Corporation; Rubycon Corporation; Rubycon America Inc.; Elna Co., Ltd.; Elna America Inc.; Matsuo Electric Co., Ltd.; Toshin Kogyo Co., Ltd.; Holy Stone Enterprise Co., Ltd.; Milestone Global Technology, Inc. d.b.a Holystone International; Vishay Intertechnology, Inc.; Vishay Polytech Co., Ltd. f/k/a/ Holy Stone Polytech Co., Ltd.; Samsung Electro-Mechanics; Samsung Electra-Mechanics America Inc.; Samsung Electronics Canada Inc.; ROHM Co., Ltd. and ROHM Semiconductor U.S.A., LLC</p>	<p>All Persons and entities in British Columbia who purchased Electrolytic Capacitors or a product containing an Electrolytic Capacitor during the Electrolytic Class Period except Excluded Persons.</p>

Proceeding	Plaintiff(s)	Defendants	Settlement Class
<p>Ontario Superior Court of Justice Court File No. 1272/16 CP (the "Ontario Film Action")</p>	<p>Sean Allott</p>	<p>AVX Corporation; Elna Co., Ltd.; Elna America Inc.; Hitachi Chemical Co., Ltd.; Hitachi Chemical Company America, Ltd.; Hitachi Canada; Hitachi AIC Inc.; Kemet Corporation; Kemet Electronics Corporation; Matsuo Electric Co., Ltd.; Nichicon Corporation; Nichicon (America) Corporation; Nippon Chemi-Con Corporation; United Chemi-Con Corporation; Nissei Electric Co. Ltd.; Nitsuko Electronics Corporation; Okaya Electric Industries Co., Ltd.; Okaya Electric America, Inc.; Panasonic Corporation; Panasonic Corporation Of North America; Panasonic Canada Inc.; Rohm Co., Ltd.; Rohm Semiconductor U.S.A., LLC F/K/A Rohm Electronics U.S.A., LLC; Rubycon Corporation; Rubycon America Inc.; Shinyei Kaisha; Shinyei Technology Co., Ltd.; Shinyei Capacitor Co., Ltd.; Shinyei Corporation Of America; Shizuki Electric Co., Ltd.; American Shizuki Corporation; Soshin Electric Co., Ltd.; Soshin Electronics Of America Inc.; Taitso Corporation; Taitso America, Inc.; Toshin Kogyo Co., Ltd.; Holy Stone Enterprise Co., Ltd.; Milestone Global Technology, Inc. D/B/A Holystone International; and Vishay Polytech Co., Ltd. F/K/A Holystone Polytech Co., Ltd.</p>	<p>All Persons and entities in Canada who purchased Film Capacitors or a product containing a Film Capacitor during the Film Class Period.</p>

SCHEDULE B

Court File No. 3795/14 CP

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

THE HONOURABLE) , THE DAY
MR. JUSTICE R. RAIKES) OF , 2021

BETWEEN:

CYGNUS ELECTRONICS CORPORATION and SEAN ALLOTT

Plaintiffs

- and -

PANASONIC CORPORATION; PANASONIC CORPORATION OF NORTH AMERICA;
PANASONIC CANADA INC.; SANYO ELECTRIC CO., LTD.; NEC TOKIN CORPORATION;
NEC TOKIN AMERICA INC.; KEMET CORPORATION; KEMET ELECTRONICS
CORPORATION; NIPPON CHEMI-CON CORPORATION; UNITED CHEMI-CON
CORPORATION; HITACHI CHEMICAL CO., LTD.; HITACHI CHEMICAL COMPANY
AMERICA, LTD.; HITACHI CANADA; NICHICON CORPORATION; NICHICON (AMERICA)
CORPORATION; AVX CORPORATION; RUBYCON CORPORATION; RUBYCON AMERICA
INC.; ELNA CO., LTD.; ELNA AMERICA INC.; MATSUO ELECTRIC CO., LTD.; TOSHIN
KOGYO CO., LTD.; SAMSUNG ELECTRO-MECHANICS; SAMSUNG ELECTRO-MECHANICS
AMERICA INC.; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CANADA INC.; ROHM CO., LTD.; ROHM
SEMICONDUCTOR U.S.A., LLC.; HITACHI AIC INC.; HITACHI CHEMICAL ELECTRONICS
CO., LTD.; FPCAP ELECTRONICS (SUZHOU) CO., LTD.; FUJITSU LTD.; FUJITSU CANADA,
INC.; HOLY STONE ENTERPRISE CO., LTD.; VISHAY POLYTECH CO., LTD. f/k/a
HOLYSTONE POLYTECH CO., LTD.; MILESTONE GLOBAL TECHNOLOGY, INC. d/b/a
HOLYSTONE INTERNATIONAL; and HOLY STONE HOLDINGS CO., LTD.

Defendants

Proceeding under the *Class Proceedings Act*, 1992, S.O. 1992, c. 6

ORDER

**(Electrolytic Capacitors - Certification and Notice Approval for Settlement Purposes -
Holystone Settlement)**

THIS MOTION made by the Plaintiffs for an Order certifying this proceeding as a class proceeding for settlement purposes as against Holy Stone Enterprise Co., Ltd., Vishay Polytech Co., Ltd. f/k/a Holystone Polytech Co., Ltd., Milestone Global Technology, Inc. d/b/a Holystone International, Holy Stone Holdings Co., Ltd., and Vishay Intertechnology Inc., respectively (the “**Settling Defendants**”) and approving the notice of settlement approval hearings and the

method of dissemination of said notice was heard this day at the Court House, 80 Dundas Street, London, Ontario.

ON READING the materials filed, including the settlement agreement dated ●, 2021 attached to this Order as Schedule A (the “**Settlement Agreement**”), and on hearing the submissions of counsel for the Plaintiffs, Counsel for the Settling Defendants, and counsel for the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants in the Ontario Electrolytic Action;

AND ON BEING ADVISED that ● has consented to being appointed as notice provider in accordance with the terms of this Order;

AND ON BEING ADVISED that the Plaintiffs and the Settling Defendants consent to this Order and that the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants take no position on this motion:

1. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, except to the extent that they are modified in this Order, the definitions set out in the Settlement Agreement apply to and are incorporated into this Order.
2. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Ontario Electrolytic Action is certified as a class proceeding as against the Settling Defendants for settlement purposes only.
3. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the “Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class” is certified as follows:

All Persons or entities in Canada who purchased Electrolytic Capacitors or a product containing an Electrolytic Capacitor during the Electrolytic Class Period, other than (1) all BC Settlement Class Members (2) all Québec Settlement Class Members and (3) Excluded Persons.

Electrolytic Capacitor means: aluminum and tantalum electrolytic capacitors; and,

Electrolytic Class Period means: September 1, 1997 to December 31, 2014.

4. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Ontario Electrolytic Action is certified on the basis of the following issue which is common to the Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class:

Did the Settling Defendants conspire to fix, raise, maintain or stabilize the price of, or allocate markets and customers of,

Electrolytic Capacitors directly or indirectly in Canada during the Electrolytic Class Period? If so, what damages, if any, did the Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class Members suffer?

5. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the plaintiffs, Cygnus Electronics Corporation and Sean Allott are appointed as the representative plaintiffs for the Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class.
6. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that this Order, including but not limited to the certification of this action against the Settling Defendants for settlement purposes and the definitions of the Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class, Electrolytic Class Period and Common Electrolytic Issue, and any reasons given by the Court in connection with this Order, is without prejudice to the rights and defences of the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants in connection with the ongoing Ontario Electrolytic Action and, without restricting the generality of the foregoing, may not be relied on by any Person to establish jurisdiction, the criteria for certification (including class definition) or the existence or elements of the causes of action asserted in the Ontario Electrolytic Action, as against the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants.
7. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the opt-out period provided pursuant to the order of this Court made on June 28, 2018 satisfies the requirement of section 9 of the *Class Proceedings Act*, 1992, S.O. 1992, c. 6 for the purposes of this action, that no further opt-out period is necessary, and that the opt-out period expired on October 24, 2018.
8. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the notices of certification and settlement approval hearing (the “**Notices**”) are hereby approved substantially in the form attached hereto as Schedule ● - ●.
9. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the plan of dissemination of the Notices (the “**Plan of Dissemination**”) is hereby approved in the form attached hereto as 0 and that the Notices shall be disseminated in accordance with the Plan of Dissemination.
10. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that ● is appointed to disseminate the Notices in accordance with the terms of this Order.

11. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that this Order is contingent upon parallel orders being made by the BC Court and the Québec Court, and the terms of this Order shall not be effective unless and until such orders are made by the BC Court and the Québec Court.
12. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that if the Settlement Agreement is not approved, is terminated in accordance with its terms or otherwise fails to take effect for any reason, paragraphs 1 to 6 and 8 to 11 of this Order, including certification for settlement purposes, shall be deemed to have been set aside and declared null and void and of no force or effect, without the need for any further Order of this Court and shall not be deemed to be an attornment to or admission of the jurisdiction of this Court. In those circumstances, a case management conference shall be convened to seek directions, including in respect of the need for and form and content of additional notice to Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class Members.

Date: _____

The Honourable Mr. Justice R. Raikes

CYGNUS ELECTRONICS CORPORATION, et al.

v. PANASONIC CORPORATION, et al.

Court File No. 3795/14 CP

Plaintiffs

Defendants

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE**

PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED AT LONDON

Proceeding Under the *Class Proceedings Act*, 1992

**ORDER
(Certification and Notice Approval)**

**FOREMAN & COMPANY
PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION**
4 Covent Market Place
London, ON N6A 1E2

**Jonathan J. Foreman (LSO# 45087H)
Sarah Bowden (LSO# 56385D)**
Tel: (519) 914-1175
Fax: (226) 884-5340
E-mail: iforeman@foremancompany.com
sbowden@foremancompany.com

Lawyers for the Plaintiffs

SCHEDULE C

Court File No. 3795/14 CP

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

THE HONOURABLE) , THE DAY
MR. JUSTICE R. RAIKES) OF , 2021

BETWEEN:

SEAN ALLOTT

Plaintiff

- and -

AVX CORPORATION; ELNA CO., LTD.; ELNA AMERICA INC.; HITACHI CHEMICAL CO., LTD.; HITACHI CHEMICAL COMPANY AMERICA, LTD.; HITACHI CANADA; HITACHI AIC INC.; KEMET CORPORATION; KEMET ELECTRONICS CORPORATION; MATSUO ELECTRIC CO., LTD.; NICHICON CORPORATION; NICHICON (AMERICA) CORPORATION; NIPPON CHEMI-CON CORPORATION; UNITED CHEMI-CON CORPORATION; NISSEI ELECTRIC CO. LTD.; NITSUKO ELECTRONICS CORPORATION; OKAYA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIES CO., LTD.; OKAYA ELECTRIC AMERICA, INC.; PANASONIC CORPORATION; PANASONIC CORPORATION OF NORTH AMERICA; PANASONIC CANADA INC.; ~~SANYO ELECTRIC CO., LTD.; SANYO ELECTRONIC DEVICE (U.S.A.) CORP.; SANYO NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION;~~ ROHM CO., LTD.; ROHM SEMICONDUCTOR U.S.A., LLC f/k/a ROHM ELECTRONICS U.S.A., LLC; RUBYCON CORPORATION; RUBYCON AMERICA INC.; SHINYEI KAISHA; SHINYEI TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.; SHINYEI CAPACITOR CO., LTD.; SHINYEI CORPORATION OF AMERICA; SHIZUKI ELECTRIC CO., LTD.; AMERICAN SHIZUKI CORPORATION; SOSHIN ELECTRIC CO., LTD.; SOSHIN ELECTRONICS OF AMERICA INC.; TAITSU CORPORATION; TAITSU AMERICA, INC.; TOSHIN KOGYO CO., LTD.; HOLY STONE ENTERPRISE CO., LTD.; MILESTONE GLOBAL TECHNOLOGY, INC. d/b/a HOLYSTONE INTERNATIONAL; ~~VISHAY INTERTECHNOLOGY, INC.;~~ and VISHAY POLYTECH CO., LTD. f/k/a HOLYSTONE POLYTECH CO., LTD.

Defendants

Proceeding under the *Class Proceedings Act*, 1992, S.O. 1992, c. 6

ORDER
(Film Capacitors - Certification and Notice Approval for Settlement Purposes - Holystone Settlement)

THIS MOTION made by the Plaintiff for an Order certifying this proceeding as a class proceeding for settlement purposes as against Holy Stone Enterprise Co., Ltd., Vishay Polytech Co., Ltd. f/k/a Holystone Polytech Co., Ltd., Milestone Global Technology, Inc. d/b/a Holystone

International, Holy Stone Holdings Co., Ltd., and Vishay Intertechnology Inc., respectively (the “**Settling Defendants**”) and approving the notice of settlement approval hearings and the method of dissemination of said notice was heard this day at the Court House, 80 Dundas Street, London, Ontario.

ON READING the materials filed, including the settlement agreement dated ●, 2021 attached to this Order as Schedule A (the “**Settlement Agreement**”), and on hearing the submissions of counsel for the Plaintiffs, Counsel for the Settling Defendants, and counsel for the Non-Settling Film Defendants in the Ontario Film Action;

AND ON BEING ADVISED that ● has consented to being appointed as notice provider in accordance with the terms of this Order;

AND ON BEING ADVISED that the Ontario Film Action comprises a national class, and that the Settling Defendants have settled the Released Film Claims on a national basis in the Ontario Film Action;

AND ON BEING ADVISED that the Québec Court in the Québec Action had notice of the within motion and the order sought by the Plaintiff in the Ontario Film Action, and the Québec Court did not object to this Order;

AND ON BEING ADVISED that the BC Court in the BC Action had notice of the within motion and the order sought by the Plaintiff in the Ontario Film Action, and the BC Court did not object to this Order;

AND ON BEING ADVISED that the Plaintiffs and the Settling Defendants consent to this Order and that the Non-Settling Film Defendants take no position on this motion:

1. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, except to the extent that they are modified in this Order, the definitions set out in the Settlement Agreement apply to and are incorporated into this Order.
2. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Ontario Film Action is certified as a class proceeding as against the Settling Defendants for settlement purposes only.
3. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the “Ontario Film Settlement Class” is certified as follows:

All Persons or entities in Canada who purchased Film Capacitors or a product containing a Film Capacitor during the Film Class Period, other than Excluded Persons;

Film Capacitor means: capacitors which use insulating plastic film and one of two conductive materials, propylene and/or polyester. Film Capacitors include, but are not limited to, the following four generations: (1) film and aluminum foil capacitors, (2) film and other metal capacitors, (3) layered capacitors, and (4) surface-mount capacitors (i.e., capacitors without leaves); and,

Film Class Period means: January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2014.

4. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Ontario Film Action is certified on the basis of the following issue which is common to the Ontario Film Settlement Class:

Did the Settling Defendants conspire to fix, raise, maintain or stabilize the price of, or allocate markets and customers of, Film Capacitors directly or indirectly in Canada during the Film Class Period? If so, what damages, if any, did the Ontario Film Settlement Class Members suffer?

5. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the plaintiff, Sean Allott, is appointed as the representative plaintiff for the Ontario Film Settlement Class.
6. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that this Order, including but not limited to the certification of this action against the Settling Defendants for settlement purposes and the definitions of the Ontario Film Settlement Class, Film Class Period and Common Film Issue, and any reasons given by the Court in connection with this Order, is without prejudice to the rights and defences of the Non-Settling Film Defendants in connection with the Film Proceedings or Québec Action and, without restricting the generality of the foregoing, may not be relied on by any Person to establish jurisdiction, the criteria for certification (including class definition) or the existence or elements of the causes of action asserted in the Film Proceedings or Québec Action, as against the Non-Settling Film Defendants.
7. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the national opt-out period provided in the Film Proceedings and Québec Action pursuant to the orders of this Court, the BC Court and the Québec Court, made on June 28, 2018, July 12, 2018 and July 25, 2018, respectively, satisfy the requirement of section 9 of the *Class Proceedings Act*, 1992, S.O. 1992, c. 6 for the purposes of this action, that no further opt-out period is necessary, and that the opt-out period expired on October 24, 2018.

8. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the terms of this Order shall not be effective unless and until the order pursuant to paragraph 8 is made by the BC Court.
9. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the notices of certification and settlement approval hearing (the “**Notices**”) are hereby approved substantially in the form attached hereto as **Schedules •-•**.
10. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the plan of dissemination of the Notices (the “**Plan of Dissemination**”) is hereby approved in the form attached hereto as **Schedule •** and that the Notices shall be disseminated in accordance with the Plan of Dissemination.
11. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that • is appointed to disseminate the Notices in accordance with the terms of this Order.
12. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that if the Settlement Agreement is not approved, is terminated in accordance with its terms or otherwise fails to take effect for any reason, paragraphs 1 to 6 and 8 to 11 of this Order, including certification for settlement purposes, shall be deemed to have been set aside and declared null and void and of no force or effect, without the need for any further Order of this Court. In those circumstances, a case management conference shall be convened to seek directions, including in respect of the need for and form and content of additional notice to Ontario Film Settlement Class Members.

Date:

The Honourable Mr. Justice R. Raikes

SEAN ALLOTT
Plaintiff

v. AVX CORPORATION, et al.
Defendants

Court File No. 1272/16 CP

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE**

PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED AT LONDON

Proceeding Under the *Class Proceedings Act, 1992*

**ORDER
(Certification and Notice Approval)**

**FOREMAN & COMPANY
PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION**
4 Covent Market Place
London, ON N6A 1E2

**Jonathan J. Foreman (LSO# 45087H)
Sarah Bowden (LSO# 56385D)**
Tel: 519.914.1175
Fax: 226.884.5340
E-mail: foreman@foremancompany.com
sbowden@foremancompany.com

Lawyers for the Plaintiff

SCHEDULE D

Court File No. 3795/14 CP

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

THE HONOURABLE) ,THE DAY
MR. JUSTICE R. RAIKES) OF , 2021

B E T W E E N :

CYGNUS ELECTRONICS CORPORATION and SEAN ALLOTT

Plaintiffs

- and -

PANASONIC CORPORATION; PANASONIC CORPORATION OF NORTH AMERICA;
PANASONIC CANADA INC.; SANYO ELECTRIC CO., LTD.; NEC TOKIN CORPORATION;
NEC TOKIN AMERICA INC.; KEMET CORPORATION; KEMET ELECTRONICS
CORPORATION; NIPPON CHEMI-CON CORPORATION; UNITED CHEMI-CON
CORPORATION; HITACHI CHEMICAL CO., LTD.; HITACHI CHEMICAL COMPANY
AMERICA, LTD.; HITACHI CANADA; NICHICON CORPORATION; NICHICON (AMERICA)
CORPORATION; AVX CORPORATION; RUBYCON CORPORATION; RUBYCON AMERICA
INC.; ELNA CO., LTD.; ELNA AMERICA INC.; MATSUO ELECTRIC CO., LTD.; TOSHIN
KOGYO CO., LTD.; SAMSUNG ELECTRO-MECHANICS; SAMSUNG ELECTRO-MECHANICS
AMERICA INC.; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CANADA INC.; ROHM CO., LTD.; ROHM
SEMICONDUCTOR U.S.A., LLC.; HITACHI AIC INC.; HITACHI CHEMICAL ELECTRONICS
CO., LTD.; FPCAP ELECTRONICS (SUZHOU) CO., LTD.; FUJITSU LTD.; FUJITSU CANADA,
INC.; HOLY STONE ENTERPRISE CO., LTD.; VISHAY POLYTECH CO., LTD. f/k/a
HOLYSTONE POLYTECH CO., LTD.; MILESTONE GLOBAL TECHNOLOGY, INC. d/b/a
HOLYSTONE INTERNATIONAL; and HOLY STONE HOLDINGS CO., LTD.

Defendants

PROCEEDING UNDER THE *CLASS PROCEEDINGS ACT, 1992*, S.O. 1992, c. 6

ORDER
(Electrolytic Capacitors - Settlement Approval – Holy Stone Settlement)

THIS MOTION made by the Plaintiffs for an Order approving the settlement agreement entered into with Holy Stone Enterprise Co., Ltd., Vishay Polytech Co., Ltd. f/k/a Holystone Polytech Co., Ltd., Milestone Global Technology, Inc. d/b/a Holystone International, and Holy Stone Holdings Co., Ltd., and Vishay Intertechnology Inc. (the “Settling Defendants”) and dismissing this action as against the Settling Defendants, was heard this day at the Court House, 80 Dundas Street, London, Ontario.

AND ON READING the materials filed, including the settlement agreement dated ●, 2021 attached to this Order as Schedule “A” (the “Settlement Agreement”), and on hearing the submissions of counsel for the Plaintiffs, Counsel for the Settling Defendants and counsel for the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants in the Ontario Electrolytic Action;

AND ON BEING ADVISED that the deadline for objecting to the Settlement Agreement has passed and there have been ● objections to the Settlement Agreement;

AND ON BEING ADVISED that the Plaintiffs and the Settling Defendants consent to this Order and that the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants take no position on this motion:

7. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, for the purposes of this Order, except to the extent that they are modified in this Order, the definitions set out in the Settlement Agreement apply to and are incorporated into this Order.
2. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, in the event of a conflict between this Order and the Settlement Agreement, this Order shall prevail.
3. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable and in the best interests of the Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class.
4. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Settlement Agreement is hereby approved pursuant to section 29 of the *Class Proceedings Act, 1992* and shall be implemented and enforced in accordance with its terms.
5. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that this Order, including the Settlement Agreement, is binding upon each member of the Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class including those Persons who are minors or mentally incapable and the requirements of Rules 7.04(1) and 7.08(4) of the *Rules of Civil Procedure* are dispensed with in respect of the Ontario Electrolytic Action.
6. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that upon the Effective Date, each Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class Member who has not validly opted-out of this action shall be deemed to have irrevocably consented to the dismissal of any Other Actions as against the Settling Defendants and the Releasees, without costs, with prejudice and without reservation.

7. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that upon the Effective Date, each Other Action commenced in Ontario by any Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class Member who has not validly opted-out of this action shall be and is hereby dismissed in respect of Released Electrolytic Claims against the Settling Defendants and the Releasees, without costs, with prejudice and without reservation.
8. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, upon the Effective Date, subject to paragraph 10, each Electrolytic Releasor who has not validly opted-out of this action has released and shall be conclusively deemed to have forever and absolutely released the Releasees from the Released Electrolytic Claims.
9. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, upon the Effective Date, each Electrolytic Releasor who has not validly opted-out of this action, as well as Class Counsel, shall not now or hereafter institute, continue, intervene in, provide assistance for, maintain or assert, either directly or indirectly, whether in Canada or elsewhere, on their own behalf or on behalf of any class or any other Person, any proceeding, action, suit, cause of action, claim or demand against any Releasee, or any other Person who may claim contribution or indemnity or other claims over relief from any Releasee, in respect of any Released Electrolytic Claims, except for the continuation of the Proceedings against the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants or unnamed co-conspirators that are not Releasees or, if the Proceedings are not certified, the continuation of the claims asserted in the Proceedings on an individual basis or otherwise against any Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants or unnamed co-conspirator that is not a Releasee. For the purposes of this paragraph 9, Class Counsel includes anyone currently or hereafter employed by or a partner with Class Counsel.
10. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the use of the terms “Electrolytic Releasors” and “Released Electrolytic Claims” in this Order does not constitute a release of claims by those members of the Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class who are resident in any province or territory where the release of one tortfeasor is a release of all tortfeasors.
11. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, upon the Effective Date, each member of the Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class who is resident in any province or territory where the release of one tortfeasor is a release of all tortfeasors covenants and undertakes not to make any claim in any way or to threaten, commence, participate in or continue any proceeding in

any jurisdiction against the Releasees in respect of or in relation to the Released Electrolytic Claims.

12. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that all claims for contribution, indemnity or other claims over, whether asserted, unasserted, or asserted in a representative capacity, inclusive of interest, taxes and costs relating to the Released Electrolytic Claims, which were or could have been brought in the Electrolytic Proceedings or any Other Actions, or otherwise, or could in the future be brought on the basis of the same events, actions and omissions underlying the Electrolytic Proceedings or any Other Actions, by any Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants, any named or unnamed alleged co-conspirator that is not a Releasee, any Settled Electrolytic Defendant, or any other Person or party against a Releasee, or by a Releasee against any Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants, any named or unnamed alleged co-conspirator that is not a Releasee, any Settled Electrolytic Defendant, or any other Person or party, are barred, prohibited and enjoined in accordance with the terms of this paragraph (unless such claim is made in respect of a claim by a Person who has validly opted-out of the Proceedings);
13. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that if this Court ultimately determines that there is a right of contribution and indemnity or other claim over, whether in equity or in law, by statute or otherwise:
 - a. the Ontario Electrolytic Plaintiffs and Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class Members shall not be entitled to claim or recover from the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants and/or named or unnamed alleged co-conspirators and/or any other Person or party that is not a Releasee that portion of any damages (including punitive damages, if any), restitutionary award, disgorgement of profits, interest and costs (including investigative costs claimed pursuant to section 36 of the *Competition Act*) that corresponds to the Proportionate Liability of the Releasees proven at trial or otherwise;
 - b. the Ontario Electrolytic Plaintiffs and Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class Members shall limit their claims against the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants and/or named or unnamed alleged co-conspirators and/or any other Person or party that is not a Releasee, to include, and shall only seek to recover from the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants and/or named or unnamed alleged co-

conspirators and/or any other Person or party that is not a Releasee, only such claims for damages (including punitive damages, if any), restitutionary award, disgorgement of profits, interest and costs (including investigation costs claimed pursuant to section 36 of the *Competition Act*), attributable to the aggregate of the several liability of the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants and/or named or unnamed alleged co-conspirators and/or any other Person or party that is not a Releasee, to the Ontario Electrolytic Plaintiffs and Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class Members, if any, and, for greater certainty, the Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class Members shall be entitled to seek to recover such damages (including punitive damages, if any), restitutionary award, disgorgement of profits, interest and costs (including investigation costs claimed pursuant to section 36 of the *Competition Act*) on a joint and several basis as between the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants and/or named or unnamed alleged co-conspirators and/or any other Person or party that is not a Releasee, if permitted by law; and

- c. this Court shall have full authority to determine the Proportionate Liability of the Releasees at the trial or other disposition of the Ontario Electrolytic Action, whether or not the Settling Defendants remain in the Ontario Electrolytic Action or appear at the trial or other disposition, and the Proportionate Liability of the Releasees shall be determined as if the Releasees are parties to the Ontario Electrolytic Action and any determination by this Court in respect of the Proportionate Liability of the Releasees shall only apply in the Ontario Electrolytic Action and shall not be binding on the Releasees in any other proceeding.

14. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that nothing in this Order is intended to or shall limit, restrict or affect any arguments which the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants may make regarding the reduction of any assessment of damages (including punitive damages, if any), restitutionary award, disgorgement of profits, interest and costs (including investigation costs claimed pursuant to section 36 of the *Competition Act*) or judgment against them in favour of members of the Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class in the Ontario Electrolytic Action or the rights of Ontario Electrolytic Plaintiffs and Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class Members to oppose or resist any such arguments, except as provided for in this Order.

15. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, subject always to the direction of the trial judge, a Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendant may, on motion to this Court determined as if the Settling Defendants remained parties to this action, brought on at least ten (10) days' notice to Counsel for the Settling Defendants and not to be brought until the Ontario Electrolytic Action against the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants has been certified as a class proceeding (but not including any certification for settlement purposes), seek orders for the following:
 - a. documentary discovery and affidavit(s) of documents from the Settling Defendants in accordance with the Ontario *Rules of Civil Procedure*;
 - b. oral discovery of representative(s) of the Settling Defendants, the transcript(s) of which may be read in at trial;
 - c. leave to serve request(s) to admit on the Settling Defendants in respect of factual matters; and/or
 - d. the production of representative(s) of the Settling Defendants to testify at trial, with such witness(es) to be subject to cross-examination by counsel for the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants.
16. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Settling Defendants retain all rights to oppose such motion(s) brought under paragraph 15. Moreover, nothing herein restricts the Settling Defendants from seeking a protective order to maintain confidentiality and protection of proprietary information in respect of Documents to be produced and/or for information obtained from discovery in accordance with paragraph 15. Notwithstanding any provision in this order, on any motion brought pursuant to paragraph 15, this Court may make such orders as to costs and other terms as it considers appropriate.
17. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that a Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendant may serve the motion(s) referred to in paragraph 15 above on the Settling Defendants by service on Counsel for the Settling Defendants in the Ontario Electrolytic Action.
18. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that for purposes of implementation, administration, interpretation and enforcement of the Settlement Agreement and this Order, this Court will retain an ongoing supervisory role and the Settling Defendants acknowledge and attorn to the jurisdiction of this Court solely for the purpose of implementing, administering, interpreting

and enforcing the Settlement Agreement and this Order, and subject to the terms and conditions set out in the Settlement Agreement and this Order.

19. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, except as provided herein, this Order does not affect any claims or causes of action that any Releasor has or may have against the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants or named or unnamed alleged co-conspirators who are not Releasees.
20. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that no Releasee shall have any responsibility for and no liability whatsoever relating to the administration of the Settlement Agreement or Distribution Protocol(s).
21. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Settlement Amount shall be held in the Trust Account by Ontario Counsel or its duly appointed agent for the benefit of Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class members, pending further order of this Court on notice to the Defendants. This paragraph shall not be interpreted as affecting the rights of the Plaintiffs or the Settlement Classes to claim such Class Counsel Disbursements in the context of a future costs award in their favour against the Non-Settling Defendants, or the rights of the Non-Settling Defendants to oppose and resist any such claim.
22. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, upon the Effective Date, the Ontario Electrolytic Action is hereby dismissed as against the Settling Defendants, without costs and with prejudice.
23. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the approval of the Settlement Agreement is contingent upon parallel orders for approval being made by the BC Court and the Québec Court, and the terms of this Order shall not be effective unless and until the Settlement Agreement is approved by the BC Court and the Québec Court, and the BC Electrolytic Action has been dismissed with prejudice and without costs and the Québec Action has been declared settled out of court without costs and without reservation as against the Settling Defendants in the relevant proceeding by the Courts. If such orders are not secured in British Columbia and Québec, this Order shall be null and void and without prejudice to the rights of the parties to proceed with the Ontario Electrolytic Action and any agreement between the parties incorporated in this Order shall be deemed in any subsequent proceedings to have been made without prejudice.

24. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, in the event that the Settlement Agreement is terminated in accordance with its terms, this Order shall be declared null and void without need for further notice of this Court but with notice to the Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class.
25. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that this Order, including but not limited to the approval of the Settlement Agreement and any reasons given by the Court in relation thereto, except as to paragraphs 12 to 17 of the Order, is without prejudice to the rights and defences of the Non-Settling Defendants in connection with the ongoing Ontario Electrolytic Action and, without restricting the generality of the foregoing, may not be relied on by any Person to establish jurisdiction, the criteria for certification (including class definition) or the existence or elements of the causes of action asserted in the Ontario Electrolytic Action as against the Non-Settling Defendants.

Date:

The Honourable Mr. Justice R.
Raikes

CYGNUS ELECTRONICS CORPORATION, et al.

v. PANASONIC CORPORATION, et al.

Court File No. 3795/14 CP

Plaintiffs

Defendants

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE**

PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED AT LONDON

Proceeding Under the *Class Proceedings Act*, 1992

**ORDER
(Settlement Approval)**

**FOREMAN & COMPANY
PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION**
4 Covent Market Place
London, ON N6A 1E2

**Jonathan J. Foreman (LSO# 45087H)
Sarah Bowden (LSO# 56385D)**
Tel: (519) 914-1175
Fax: (226) 884-5340
E-mail: iforeman@foremancompany.com
sbowden@foremancompany.com

Lawyers for the Plaintiffs

SCHEDULE E

Court File No. 1272/16 CP

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

THE HONOURABLE) , THE DAY
MR. JUSTICE R. RAIKES) OF , 2021

B E T W E E N :

SEAN ALLOTT

Plaintiff

- and -

AVX CORPORATION; ELNA CO., LTD.; ELNA AMERICA INC.; HITACHI CHEMICAL CO., LTD.; HITACHI CHEMICAL COMPANY AMERICA, LTD.; HITACHI CANADA; HITACHI AIC INC.; KEMET CORPORATION; KEMET ELECTRONICS CORPORATION; MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC CO., LTD.; NICHICON CORPORATION; NICHICON (AMERICA) CORPORATION; NIPPON CHEMI-CON CORPORATION; UNITED CHEMI-CON CORPORATION; NISSEI ELECTRIC CO. LTD.; NITSUKO ELECTRONICS CORPORATION; OKAYA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIES CO., LTD.; OKAYA ELECTRIC AMERICA, INC.; PANASONIC CORPORATION; PANASONIC CORPORATION OF NORTH AMERICA; PANASONIC CANADA INC.; ~~SANYO ELECTRIC CO., LTD.; SANYO ELECTRONIC DEVICE (U.S.A.) CORP.; SANYO NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION;~~ ROHM CO., LTD.; ROHM SEMICONDUCTOR U.S.A., LLC f/k/a ROHM ELECTRONICS U.S.A., LLC; RUBYCON CORPORATION; RUBYCON AMERICA INC.; SHINYEI KAISHA; SHINYEI TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.; SHINYEI CAPACITOR CO., LTD.; SHINYEI CORPORATION OF AMERICA; SHIZUKI ELECTRIC CO., LTD.; AMERICAN SHIZUKI CORPORATION; SOSHIN ELECTRIC CO., LTD.; SOSHIN ELECTRONICS OF AMERICA INC.; TAITSU CORPORATION; TAITSU AMERICA, INC.; TOSHIN KOGYO CO., LTD.; HOLY STONE ENTERPRISE CO., LTD.; MILESTONE GLOBAL TECHNOLOGY, INC. d/b/a HOLYSTONE INTERNATIONAL; ~~VISHAY INTERTECHNOLOGY, INC.;~~ and VISHAY POLYTECH CO., LTD. f/k/a HOLYSTONE POLYTECH CO., LTD.

Defendants

PROCEEDING UNDER THE *CLASS PROCEEDINGS ACT, 1992*

ORDER
(Film Capacitors - Settlement Approval – Holy Stone Settlement)

THIS MOTION made by the Plaintiff for an Order approving the settlement agreement entered into with Holy Stone Enterprise Co., Ltd., Vishay Polytech Co., Ltd. f/k/a Holystone Polytech Co., Ltd., Milestone Global Technology, Inc. d/b/a Holystone International, and Holy Stone Holdings Co., Ltd., and Vishay Intertechnology Inc. (the “Settling Defendants”) and dismissing this action as against the Settling Defendants, was heard this day at the Court House, 80 Dundas Street, London, Ontario.

AND ON READING the materials filed, including the settlement agreement dated ●, 2021 attached to this Order as Schedule “A” (the “Settlement Agreement”), and on hearing the submissions of counsel for the Plaintiff, Counsel for the Settling Defendants and counsel for the Non-Settling Film Defendants;

AND ON BEING ADVISED that the Settling Defendants were named in the Ontario Film Action, but are not named in the BC Film Action or in respect of Film Capacitors in the Québec Action;

AND ON BEING ADVISED that the deadline for objecting to the Settlement Agreement has passed and there have been ● objections to the Settlement Agreement;

AND ON BEING ADVISED that the Plaintiff and the Settling Defendants consent to this Order and that the Non-Settling Film Defendants take no position on this motion:

1. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, for the purposes of this Order, except to the extent that they are modified in this Order, the definitions set out in the Settlement Agreement apply to and are incorporated into this Order.
2. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, in the event of a conflict between this Order and the Settlement Agreement, this Order shall prevail.
3. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable and in the best interests of the Ontario Film Settlement Class.
4. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Settlement Agreement is hereby approved pursuant to section 29 of the *Class Proceedings Act, 1992* and shall be implemented and enforced in accordance with its terms.
5. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that this Order, including the Settlement Agreement, is binding upon each member of the Ontario Film Settlement Class including those Persons who are minors or mentally incapable and the requirements of Rules 7.04(1) and 7.08(4) of the *Rules of Civil Procedure* are dispensed with in respect of the Ontario Film Action.
6. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that upon the Effective Date, each Ontario Film Settlement Class Member who has not validly opted-out shall be deemed to have irrevocably consented to the dismissal of any Other Actions as against the Settling Defendants and the Releasees, without costs, with prejudice and without reservation.

7. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that upon the Effective Date, each Other Action commenced by any Ontario Film Settlement Class Member who has not validly opted-out shall be and is hereby dismissed in respect of Released Film Claims against the Settling Defendants and the Releasees, without costs, with prejudice and without reservation.
8. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, upon the Effective Date, subject to paragraph 10, each Film Releasor who has not validly opted-out has released and shall be conclusively deemed to have forever and absolutely released the Releasees from the Released Film Claims.
9. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, upon the Effective Date, each Film Releasor who has not validly opted-out as well as Class Counsel, shall not now or hereafter institute, continue, intervene in, provide assistance for, maintain or assert, either directly or indirectly, whether in Canada or elsewhere, on their own behalf or on behalf of any class or any other Person, any proceeding, action, suit, cause of action, claim or demand against any Releasee, or any other Person who may claim contribution or indemnity or other claims over relief from any Releasee, in respect of any Released Film Claims, except for the continuation of the Proceedings against the Non-Settling Film Defendants or unnamed co-conspirators that are not Releasees or, if the Proceedings are not certified, the continuation of the claims asserted in the Proceedings on an individual basis or otherwise against any Non-Settling Film Defendants or unnamed co-conspirator that is not a Releasee. For the purposes of this paragraph 9, Class Counsel includes anyone currently or hereafter employed by or a partner with Class Counsel.
10. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the use of the terms “Film Releasors” and “Released Film Claims” in this Order does not constitute a release of claims by those members of the Ontario Film Settlement Class who are resident in any province or territory where the release of one tortfeasor is a release of all tortfeasors.
11. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, upon the Effective Date, each member of the Ontario Film Settlement Class who is resident in any province or territory where the release of one tortfeasor is a release of all tortfeasors covenants and undertakes not to make any claim in any way or to threaten, commence, participate in or continue any proceeding in any jurisdiction against the Releasees in respect of or in relation to the Released Film Claims.
12. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that all claims for contribution, indemnity or other claims over, whether asserted, unasserted, or asserted in a representative capacity, inclusive of interest, taxes and costs relating to the Released Film Claims, which were or could have been brought in the Film Proceedings, Québec Action or any Other Actions, or otherwise,

or could in the future be brought on the basis of the same events, actions and omissions underlying the Film Proceedings, Québec Action or any Other Actions, by any Non-Settling Film Defendants, any named or unnamed alleged co-conspirator that is not a Releasee, any Settled Film Defendant, or any other Person or party against a Releasee, or by a Releasee against any Non-Settling Film Defendants, any named or unnamed alleged co-conspirator that is not a Releasee, any Settled Film Defendant, or any other Person or party, are barred, prohibited and enjoined in accordance with the terms of this paragraph (unless such claim is made in respect of a claim by a Person who has validly opted-out of the Film Proceedings or Québec Action);

13. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that if this Court ultimately determines that there is a right of contribution and indemnity or other claim over, whether in equity or in law, by statute or otherwise:

- a. the Ontario Film Plaintiff and Ontario Film Settlement Class Members shall not be entitled to claim or recover from the Non-Settling Film Defendants and/or named or unnamed alleged co-conspirators and/or any other Person or party that is not a Releasee that portion of any damages (including punitive damages, if any), restitutionary award, disgorgement of profits, interest and costs (including investigative costs claimed pursuant to section 36 of the *Competition Act*) that corresponds to the Proportionate Liability of the Releasees proven at trial or otherwise;
- b. the Ontario Film Plaintiff and Ontario Film Settlement Class Members shall limit their claims against the Non-Settling Film Defendants and/or named or unnamed alleged co-conspirators and/or any other Person or party that is not a Releasee, to include, and shall only seek to recover from the Non-Settling Film Defendants and/or named or unnamed alleged co-conspirators and/or any other Person or party that is not a Releasee, only such claims for damages (including punitive damages, if any), restitutionary award, disgorgement of profits, interest and costs (including investigation costs claimed pursuant to section 36 of the *Competition Act*), attributable to the aggregate of the several liability of the Non-Settling Film Defendants and/or named or unnamed alleged co-conspirators and/or any other Person or party that is not a Releasee, to the Ontario Film Plaintiff and Ontario Film Settlement Class Members, if any, and, for greater certainty, the Ontario Film Settlement Class Members shall be entitled to seek to recover such damages (including punitive damages, if any), restitutionary award, disgorgement of profits, interest and costs (including investigation costs claimed pursuant to section 36 of

the *Competition Act*) on a joint and several basis as between the Non-Settling Film Defendants and/or named or unnamed alleged co-conspirators and/or any other Person or party that is not a Releasee, if permitted by law; and

- c. this Court shall have full authority to determine the Proportionate Liability of the Releasees at the trial or other disposition of the Ontario Film Action, whether or not the Settling Defendants remain in the Ontario Film Action or appear at the trial or other disposition, and the Proportionate Liability of the Releasees shall be determined as if the Releasees are parties to the Ontario Film Action and any determination by this Court in respect of the Proportionate Liability of the Releasees shall only apply in the Ontario Film Action and shall not be binding on the Releasees in any other proceeding.
14. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that nothing in this Order is intended to or shall limit, restrict or affect any arguments which the Non-Settling Film Defendants may make regarding the reduction of any assessment of damages (including punitive damages, if any), restitutionary award, disgorgement of profits, interest and costs (including investigation costs claimed pursuant to section 36 of the *Competition Act*) or judgment against them in favour of members of the Ontario Film Settlement Class in the Ontario Film Action or the rights of the Ontario Film Plaintiff and Ontario Film Settlement Class members to oppose or resist any such arguments, except as provided for in this Order.
 15. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that a Non-Settling Film Defendant may, subject always to the direction of the trial judge, on motion to this Court determined as if the Settling Defendants remained parties to the Ontario Film Action, brought on at least ten (10) days' notice to Counsel for the Settling Defendants and not to be brought until the Ontario Film Action against the Non-Settling Film Defendants has been certified as a class proceeding (but not including any certification for settlement purposes), seek orders for the following:
 - a. documentary discovery and affidavit(s) of documents from the Settling Defendants in accordance with the *Rules of Civil Procedure*;
 - b. oral discovery of representative(s) of the Settling Defendants, the transcript(s) of which may be read in at trial;
 - c. leave to serve request(s) to admit on the Settling Defendants in respect of factual matters; and/or

- d. the production of representative(s) of the Settling Defendants to testify at trial, with such witness(es) to be subject to cross-examination by counsel for the Non-Settling Film Defendants.
16. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Settling Defendants retain all rights to oppose such motion(s) brought under paragraph 15. Moreover, nothing herein restricts the Settling Defendants from seeking a protective order to maintain confidentiality and protection of proprietary information in respect of Documents to be produced and/or for information obtained from discovery in accordance with paragraph 15. Notwithstanding any provision in this order, on any motion brought pursuant to paragraph 15, this Court may make such orders as to costs and other terms as it considers appropriate.
17. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that a Non-Settling Film Defendant may serve the motion(s) referred to in paragraph 15 above on the Settling Defendants by service on Counsel for the Settling Defendants in the Ontario Film Action.
18. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that for purposes of implementation, administration, interpretation and enforcement of the Settlement Agreement and this Order, this Court will retain an ongoing supervisory role and the Settling Defendants acknowledge and attorn to the jurisdiction of this Court solely for the purpose of implementing, administering, interpreting and enforcing the Settlement Agreement and this Order, and subject to the terms and conditions set out in the Settlement Agreement and this Order.
19. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, except as provided herein, this Order does not affect any claims or causes of action that any Releasor has or may have against the Non-Settling Film Defendants or named or unnamed alleged co-conspirators who are not Releasees.
20. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that no Releasee shall have any responsibility for and no liability whatsoever relating to the administration of the Settlement Agreement or Distribution Protocol(s).
21. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Film Settlement Amount shall be held in the Trust Account by Ontario Counsel or its duly appointed agent for the benefit of Ontario Film Settlement Class members, pending further order of this Court on notice to the Defendants. This paragraph shall not be interpreted as affecting the rights of the Film Plaintiff or the Ontario Film Settlement Classes to claim such Class Counsel Disbursements in the context of a future costs award in their favour against the Non-Settling Defendants, or the rights of the Non-Settling Defendants to oppose and resist any such claim.

22. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, upon the Effective Date, the Ontario Film Action is hereby dismissed as against the Settling Defendants, without costs and with prejudice.
23. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, in the event that the Settlement Agreement is terminated in accordance with its terms, this Order shall be declared null and void without need for further notice of this Court but with notice to the Ontario Film Settlement Class.
24. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that this Order, including but not limited to the approval of the Settlement Agreement and any reasons given by the Court in relation thereto, except as to paragraphs 12 to 17 of the Order, is without prejudice to the rights and defences of the Non-Settling Film Defendants in connection with the Ontario Film Action and, without restricting the generality of the foregoing, may not be relied on by any Person to establish jurisdiction, the criteria for certification (including class definition) or the existence or elements of the causes of action asserted by the Ontario Film Settlement Class as against the Non-Settling Film Defendants.

Date:

The Honourable Mr. Justice R. Raikes

SEAN ALLOTT
Plaintiff

v. AVX CORPORATION, et al.
Defendants

Court File No. 1272/16 CP

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE**

PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED AT LONDON

Proceeding Under the *Class Proceedings Act, 1992*

**ORDER
(Settlement Approval)**

**FOREMAN & COMPANY
PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION**
4 Covent Market Place
London, ON N6A 1E2

Jonathan J. Foreman (LSO# 45087H)
Sarah Bowden (LSO# 56385D)
Jean-Marc Metrailler (LSO# 69848F)
Tel: 519.914.1175
Fax: 226.884.5340
E-mail: jforeman@foremancompany.com
sbowden@foremancompany.com
jmetrailler@foremancompany.com

Lawyers for the Plaintiff

SCHEDULE "B"

Proposed \$2.5M Settlement Reached with ELNA and \$0.8M Settlement Reached with Holy Stone in Electrolytic & Film Capacitor Class Actions

LONDON, ON – ●, ●, 2021 –To settle class action lawsuits alleging that several companies participated in price-fixing and related conduct in respect of electrolytic and film capacitors, ELNA and Holy Stone (together, the "Settling Defendants") have separately agreed to pay CAD \$2.475M and CAD \$790,000 respectively for the benefit of Electrolytic Settlement Class Members and CAD \$25,000 and CAD \$10,000 respectively for the benefit of Film Settlement Class Members. The proposed settlements total a combined CAD \$3.3M. In addition, the Settling Defendants have agreed to provide cooperation to the plaintiffs in pursuing their claims against the non-settling defendants. The settlements are not admissions of liability, fault, or wrongdoing, but are compromises of disputed claims. The settlements must be approved by the courts before they become effective.

An "electrolytic capacitor" and a "film capacitor" are two types of electronic components used in an electrical circuit to store a charge. They are found in smartphones, game consoles, home appliances and televisions, among other electronic products.

To be a member of these class actions, one must have purchased an electrolytic capacitor or a product containing an electrolytic capacitor between September 1, 1997 and December 31, 2014 or have purchased a film capacitor or a product containing a film capacitor between January 1, 2002 and December 31, 2014.

"Our team is pleased to have secured another settlement recovery for the classes we represent in this litigation. We are also pleased to have negotiated the right to further evidence and cooperation from the settling defendants to enhance the prosecution of the claims against the remaining defendants" said Jonathan Foreman, of Foreman & Company, one of the lawyers representing the plaintiffs in the class actions.

The class actions continue against the remaining defendants.

Because the class actions are still ongoing and other settlements may be reached, the ELNA and Holy Stone settlement amounts will not be distributed to class members at this time. A process for the payment of claims to class members, which is subject to court approval, will be put in place at a later date.

For more detailed information, and to view the Courts' orders, the settlement agreements, the court-approved notices and an explanation of the rights of settlement class members, please visit www.capacitorclassaction.ca.

Class members are represented by:

Foreman & Company (Canada)
Camp Fiorante Matthews Mogerman^{LLP} (BC)
Belleau Lapointe, s.e.n.c.r.l. (QC)

Media contacts:

English: Jonathan Foreman - classactions@foremancompany.com, 519-914-1175 x 102

French: Marie-Ève Dumont, Option consommateurs – 514-777-6133

SCHEDULE "C"

Banner Ad Content

Did you purchase an electrolytic and/or a film capacitor or a product containing them (such as smartphones, gaming consoles, home appliances and televisions among other electronic products) between September 1, 1997 and December 31, 2014 in Canada?

IF SO, YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS MAY BE AFFECTED BY RECENT CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENTS. [CLICK TO LEARN MORE.](#)

SCHEDULE "D"

LEGAL NOTICE AUTHORIZED BY THE ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE, THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA AND THE SUPERIOR COURT OF QUÉBEC

CANADIAN ELECTROLYTIC & FILM CAPACITORS CLASS ACTIONS

Did you purchase an electrolytic capacitor or an electronic device containing an electrolytic capacitor between September 1, 1997 and December 31, 2014 or a film capacitor or an electronic device containing a film capacitor between January 1, 2002 and December 31, 2014? If so, your legal rights could be affected.

WHAT ARE THE CLASS ACTIONS ABOUT?

“Electrolytic capacitors” and “film capacitors” are two types of electronic components used in an electrical circuit in order to store a charge. Electrolytic and film capacitors are found in electronics like smartphones, gaming consoles, home appliances and televisions, among other products.

Class actions alleging price-fixing and related conduct are ongoing in Canada, on behalf of Canadians who purchased: 1) an electrolytic capacitor or a product containing an electrolytic capacitor between September 1, 1997 and December 31, 2014 (the “Electrolytic Settlement Class Members”) and/or 2) a film capacitor or a product containing a film capacitor between January 1, 2002 and December 31, 2014 (the “Film Settlement Class Members”) (collectively the “Class Actions”).

ELECTROLYTIC & FILM CAPACITOR SETTLEMENTS

Settlement agreements have been reached with ELNA Co., Ltd. and ELNA America, Inc. (collectively “ELNA”) and Holy Stone Enterprise Co., Ltd., Vishay Polytech Co., Ltd. f/k/a Holy Stone Polytech Co., Ltd., Milestone Global Technology, Inc. d/b/a Holy Stone International, Holy Stone Holdings Co., Ltd., and Vishay Intertechnology, Inc., (collectively “Holy Stone” and together with ELNA the “Settling Defendants”).

ELNA and Holy Stone have separately agreed to pay CAD \$2,475,000 and \$790,000, respectively, (the “Electrolytic Settlement Amounts”) for the benefit of Electrolytic Settlement Class Members. ELNA and Holy Stone have further agreed to pay CAD \$25,000 and \$10,000, respectively, (the “Film Settlement Amounts”) for the benefit of Film Settlement Class Members. In addition, the Settling Defendants have agreed to provide cooperation to the plaintiffs in pursuing their claims against the non-settling defendants. In exchange, ELNA and Holy Stone will be provided with a full release of the claims against them in relation to the Class Actions. The settlements are not admissions of liability, fault, or wrongdoing, but are compromises of disputed claims.

Prior settlements were reached with other defendants in the electrolytic and film Class Actions and approved by previous orders of the courts.

SETTLEMENT APPROVAL HEARINGS

The settlements must be approved by the courts before they become effective. Hearings are to take place in Ontario, British Columbia and Québec for the Electrolytic Class Actions and in Ontario for the Film Class Action, at:

- the Ontario Superior Court of Justice on [redacted], 2022 at [redacted] am, by virtual hearing;
- the Supreme Court of British Columbia on [redacted], 2022 at [redacted] am, by virtual hearing or in writing; and
- the Superior Court of Québec on [redacted], 2022 at [redacted] am, at 1, rue Notre-Dame Est, Montréal, Québec in Room [redacted] and by virtual hearing.

Depending on the Covid-19 protocols in place at the time, it is anticipated that the settlement approval hearings will proceed by videoconference. If you think you are an Electrolytic or Film Settlement Class Member and want to participate in the settlement approval hearing(s) in your jurisdiction, please contact the lawyers working on the Class Actions (contact information under “More information”) for instructions and particulars on how to join the hearing. For more information, please visit www.capacitorclassaction.ca.

DISTRIBUTION OF SETTLEMENT FUNDS

The Electrolytic Settlement Amounts and Film Settlement Amounts, minus court-approved lawyers’ fees, disbursements and applicable taxes, will be held in separate interest-bearing trust accounts, along with the previous settlement amounts, for the benefit of Electrolytic and Film Settlement Class Members in the Class Actions (the “Settlement Funds”).

As the Class Actions remain ongoing and as further recoveries may be achieved, the Settlement Funds will not be distributed to Electrolytic or Film Settlement Class Members at this time. At a future time, the courts will approve a process for the payment of claims to class members. A further notice will be provided at the time of distribution.

STATUS OF THE CLASS ACTION

ELNA and Holy Stone are respectively the fifth and sixth groups of defendants to enter into settlements in the Class Actions. The Class Actions continue against 24 non-settling defendants in the Electrolytic Action and 28 non-settling defendants in the Film Action.

In Québec, on March 22, 2019, the Superior Court authorized the electrolytic Class Action, which is now allowed to proceed towards a trial. In Ontario and British Columbia, the electrolytic and film Class Actions are continuing towards the certification stage.

SETTLEMENT APPROVAL AND LAWYERS’ FEES

At the settlement approval hearings, the courts will determine whether the settlements are fair, reasonable, and in the best interests of the Electrolytic and Film Settlement Class Members. At this time, the lawyers working on these Class Actions will be requesting court approval of fees of 25% of the Electrolytic Settlement Amount, plus disbursements and applicable taxes. If approved, these amounts may be paid to the lawyers out of the Settlement Funds at that time.

If you do not oppose the proposed settlement agreements, you do not need to do anything at this time.

If you wish to comment on or object to the settlement agreements or lawyer’s fees, you must deliver a written submission to one of the law firms listed below by [redacted], 2022. The lawyers will forward any submissions to the appropriate court.

OPTING OUT OF THE PROCEEDINGS

The court-ordered deadline for Electrolytic and Film Class Members to opt out of the Class Actions was October 24, 2018. If you did not

previously opt out, you are legally bound by the result of the Class Actions, including the ELNA and Holy Stone settlement agreements.

MORE INFORMATION

If you have any questions about the Class Actions or to review the long form notice which contains additional information please visit www.capacitorclassaction.ca or contact:

Foreman & Company: Toll free at 1-855-814-4575 ext.106 or e-mail at classactions@foremancompany.com (Canada)

Camp Fiorante Matthews Mogerman LLP : Toll free at 1-800-689-2322 or e-mail at info@cfmlawyers.ca (BC)

Belleau Lapointe s.e.n.c.r.l. :Toll free at 1-888-987-6701 or e-mail at info@belleaulapointe.com (QC)

SCHEDULE "E"

NOTICE OF CERTIFICATION / AUTHORIZATION AND SETTLEMENT APPROVAL HEARINGS IN THE MATTER OF THE ELECTROLYTIC & FILM CAPACITORS CLASS ACTIONS

TO: All persons in Canada who purchased an electrolytic capacitor or a product containing an electrolytic capacitor between September 1, 1997 and December 31, 2014 (the "Electrolytic Settlement Class Members") and/or a film capacitor or a product containing a film capacitor between January 1, 2002 and December 31, 2014 (the "Film Settlement Class Members").

If you bought an electronic device such as computers, smartphones, gaming consoles, home appliances and televisions containing an electrolytic and/or film capacitor, you may be an Electrolytic or Film Settlement Class Member and your legal rights could be affected.

PLEASE READ THIS NOTICE CAREFULLY AS IT MAY AFFECT YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS.

1. WHAT IS A CLASS ACTION?

A class action is a lawsuit filed by one person on behalf of a large group of people that has been "certified" or "authorized" by a Canadian court and determines "common issues" for the group of people, known as the "class".

2. WHAT ARE ELECTROLYTIC AND FILM CAPACITORS AND WHAT ARE THESE CLASS ACTIONS ABOUT?

"Electrolytic capacitors" and "film capacitors" are two types of electronic components used in an electrical circuit in order to store a charge. Electrolytic and film capacitors are found in electronics like smartphones, gaming consoles, home appliances and televisions, among other products.

In 2014, class proceedings were initiated in Ontario, in British Columbia and in Québec on behalf of Canadians who purchased an electrolytic capacitor or a product containing an electrolytic capacitor between September 1, 1997 and December 31, 2014 (the "Electrolytic Class Period"). In 2016, class proceedings were initiated on behalf of Canadians who purchased a film capacitor or a product containing a film capacitor between January 1, 2002 and December 31, 2014 (the "Film Class Period") (together the "Class Actions").

The Class Actions claim that the companies that sell electrolytic and film capacitors were involved in unlawful conspiracies to fix, maintain or increase the prices of these products.

3. WHAT IS A SETTLEMENT AND WHAT SETTLEMENTS HAVE BEEN REACHED IN THESE CLASS ACTIONS?

A settlement is when a defendant agrees to pay money to the members of the class action in exchange for having the case against it dismissed.

Settlements have been reached in the Class Actions with ELNA Co., Ltd. and ELNA America, Inc. (collectively "ELNA"); and Holy Stone Enterprise Co., Ltd., Vishay Polytech Co., Ltd. f/k/a Holy Stone Polytech Co., Ltd., Milestone Global Technology, Inc. d/b/a Holy Stone International, Holy Stone Holdings Co., Ltd., and Vishay Intertechnology, Inc., (collectively "Holy Stone" and together with ELNA the "Settling Defendants").

QUESTIONS ? IN QUÉBEC CALL 1-888-987-6701 (TOLL FREE), IN BRITISH COLUMBIA CALL 1-800-689-2322 (TOLL FREE), ANYWHERE ELSE IN CANADA CALL 1-855-814-4575, EXT. 106 (TOLL FREE) OR VISIT WWW.CAPACITORCLASSACTION.CA.

ELNA and Holy Stone have agreed to pay CAD \$2,475,000 and CAD \$790,000, respectively, valued collectively at \$3,265,000 (the “Electrolytic Settlement Amounts”) for the benefit of the Electrolytic Settlement Class Members. ELNA and Holy Stone have further agreed to pay CAD \$25,000 and CAD \$10,000, respectively, valued collectively at \$35,000 (the “Film Settlement Amounts”) for the benefit of the Film Settlement Class Members. The Settling Defendants assert and the plaintiffs have accepted that they did not manufacture any film capacitors during the Film Class Period. As a result, the recovery on behalf of Film Settlement Class Members is nominal. In addition, both ELNA and Holy Stone have agreed to provide cooperation to the plaintiffs in pursuing their claims against the non-settling defendants. In exchange, ELNA and Holy Stone will be provided with a full release of the claims against them in relation to the Class Actions.

The settlements are not admissions of liability, fault, or wrongdoing, but are compromises of disputed claims. The plaintiffs sought and were granted certification/amended authorization for settlement purposes in Ontario, British Columbia and Québec on behalf of the Electrolytic Settlement Class Members. Certification was also sought and granted for settlement purposes in Ontario on behalf of all national Film Settlement Class Members.

The settlements are subject to court approval. There will be settlement approval hearings in Ontario, British Columbia and Québec for the Electrolytic Class Actions and a settlement approval hearing in Ontario for the Film Class Action. These hearings will be held:

- Before the Ontario Superior Court of Justice on •, virtually via Zoom videoconference;
- Before the Supreme Court of British Columbia, •, by virtual hearing or in writing; and
- Before the Superior Court of Québec on •, at 1 rue Notre-Dame Est, Montréal, Québec in Room X and by virtual hearing.

The courts will decide whether the settlements are fair, reasonable, and in the best interests of Settlement Class Members.

Depending on the Covid-19 protocols in place at the time, it is anticipated that the settlement approval hearings will proceed by videoconference. If you think you are an Electrolytic or Film Settlement Class Member and want to participate in the settlement approval hearing(s) in your jurisdiction, please contact the lawyers working on the Class Actions for instructions and particulars on how to join the hearing. Contact information for the lawyers can be found below. Please visit www.capacitorclassaction.ca for further information in advance of the settlement approval hearings.

Prior settlements were reached in the electrolytic Class Actions with the Tokin and Panasonic defendants, valued collectively at CAD \$8,850,000 and in the film Class Actions with the Okaya, Nitsuko and Panasonic defendants, valued collectively at CAD \$2,058,900. Those four settlements were approved by previous orders of the courts.

4. WHO IS AFFECTED BY THESE SETTLEMENTS?

While the Class Actions were started in Ontario, British Columbia and Québec, they include persons in all provinces and territories in Canada who purchased an electrolytic and/or film capacitor or a product containing an electrolytic and/or a film capacitor.

The Electrolytic Settlement Class Members are: ***all persons in Canada who purchased an electrolytic capacitor or a product containing an electrolytic capacitor between September 1, 1997 and December 31, 2014.***

The Film Settlement Class Members are: ***all persons in Canada who purchased a film capacitor or a product containing a film capacitor between January 1, 2002 and December 31, 2014.***

5. WHEN WILL THE SETTLEMENT AMOUNTS BE DISTRIBUTED?

The Electrolytic Settlement Amounts and Film Settlement Amounts, minus court-approved lawyers' fees, disbursements and applicable taxes, will be held in separate interest-bearing trust accounts with the previous settlement amounts, for the benefit of the Electrolytic and Film Settlement Class Members in the Class Actions (the "Settlement Funds").

As the Class Actions remain ongoing and as further recoveries may be achieved, the Settlement Funds will not be distributed to Electrolytic or Film Settlement Class Members at this time. At a future time, the courts will approve a process for the payment of claims to class members. Watch for another notice explaining how to claim money from the settlement.

6. WHAT IS THE STATUS OF THE CLASS ACTION AGAINST THE OTHER DEFENDANTS?

ELNA and Holy Stone are the fifth and sixth groups of defendants to enter into settlements in the Class Actions, respectively. The Class Actions will continue against 24 non-settling defendants in the electrolytic Class Actions and 28 non-settling defendants in the film Class Actions.

In Québec, the Class Action with respect to electrolytic capacitors was authorized by the Superior Court of Québec on March 22, 2019. This means that the class action can proceed towards the trial against the non-settling defendants and the common issues (as defined in the authorization judgment) will be determined in a single proceeding on behalf of all the members of the authorized class.

In Ontario and British Columbia, the electrolytic and film Class Actions are continuing towards the certification stage against the non-settling defendants. The electrolytic and film Class Actions have been certified against ELNA and Holy Stone for the purpose of implementing the settlement agreements only.

7. WHAT DO I NEED TO DO AT THIS TIME?

If you do not oppose the proposed settlements, you do not need to do anything.

If you want to tell the courts what you think about the proposed settlements or speak to the courts at the hearings mentioned above, you must send your written submissions to the lawyers working on these Class Actions. Contact information for the lawyers can be found below. The lawyers will file all such submissions with the appropriate Court.

8. OPT OUT DEADLINE PASSED ON OCTOBER 24, 2018

The court-ordered deadline for Electrolytic and Film Class Members to opt out of the Class Actions was **October 24, 2018**. If you did not previously opt out, you are legally bound by the results of the Class Actions, including the ELNA and Holy Stone settlement agreements.

9. WHAT DO I HAVE TO PAY?

You do not have to pay the lawyers working on these Class Actions any money. Lawyers working on these Class Actions will be paid from the money collected in these Class Actions. The courts will be asked to decide how much the lawyers will be paid. While the respective retainer agreements permit a fee request of up to 30%, at this time the lawyers will collectively be asking at the settlement approval hearings that the courts approve legal fees of 25% of the Electrolytic Settlement Amounts, plus disbursements and applicable taxes. Any approved lawyers' fees, disbursements and applicable taxes may be paid out of the Settlement Funds at that time.

If you wish to comment on or make an objection to lawyers' fees, a written submission must be delivered to the appropriate lawyers at the addresses listed below **by • at the latest**. Lawyers will forward all such submissions to the appropriate court. If you do not file a written submission by the deadline, you may not be entitled to participate in the hearing, and your submission may not be brought to the attention of the courts.

10. WHAT IF THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS ARE NOT APPROVED?

The certification/authorization orders are only valid if the ELNA and Holy Stone settlements are approved by all three courts. If the settlements are not approved or if they otherwise fail to take effect, the certification/authorization orders will not stand and the litigation will continue against ELNA and Holy Stone.

11. WHO ARE THE LAWYERS WORKING ON THESE CLASS ACTIONS?

- **British Columbia:** Camp Fiorante Matthews Mogerman ^{LLP} represents Electrolytic Settlement Class Members in British Columbia. Camp Fiorante Matthews Mogerman ^{LLP} can be reached:

Toll free at 1-800-689-2322, by fax at 1-604-689-7554, by e-mail at info@cfmlawyers.ca or by mail at Suite 400, 856 Homer Street, Vancouver, British Columbia V6B 2W5, Attention: Sharon Wong.

- **Québec:** Belleau Lapointe s.e.n.c.r.l. represents Electrolytic Settlement Class Members in Québec. Belleau Lapointe s.e.n.c.r.l. can be reached:

Toll free at 1-888-987-6701, by fax at 1-514-987-6886, by e-mail at info@belleaulapointe.com or by mail at 300, Place d'Youville, Bureau B-10, Montréal, Québec H2Y 2B6, Attention: Rosalie Jetté.

- **All other provinces and territories:** Foreman & Company represents Electrolytic Settlement Class Members in all provinces and territories other than British Columbia and Québec, and represents all Film Settlement Class Members in Canada. Foreman & Company can be reached:

QUESTIONS ? IN QUÉBEC CALL 1-888-987-6701 (TOLL FREE), IN BRITISH COLUMBIA CALL 1-800-689-2322 (TOLL FREE), ANYWHERE ELSE IN CANADA CALL 1-855-814-4575, EXT. 106 (TOLL FREE) OR VISIT WWW.CAPACITORCLASSACTION.CA.

Toll free at 1-855-814-4575 ext. 106, by fax at 1-226-884-5340, by e-mail at classactions@foremancompany.com or by mail at 4 Covent Market Place, London, Ontario N6A 1E2, Attention: Cassandra Gauld.

12. WHERE CAN I ASK MORE QUESTIONS?

This notice contains only a summary of the ELNA and Holy Stone settlements and Electrolytic and Film Settlement Class Members are encouraged to review the complete settlement agreements. Copies of the settlement agreements can be downloaded from the settlement website at www.capacitorclassaction.ca. If you would like copies of the settlement agreements or have questions that are not answered online, please contact the appropriate lawyers identified above. **Inquiries should not be directed to the courts.**

13. INTERPRETATION

This notice contains a summary of some of the terms of the ELNA and Holy Stone settlement agreements. If there is a conflict between the provisions of this notice and the settlement agreements, the terms of the settlement agreements shall prevail.

SCHEDULE "F"

Electrolytic & Film Capacitors – Plan of Dissemination

The Notice of Certification and Settlement Approval Hearing will be distributed in short-form and long-form, banner ad, and press release format (collectively the “Notices”). The Notices will be delivered via the following media:

1. The short-and long-form notices, a copy of the settlement agreement entered into with the parties, and a copy of certification/authorisation for settlement purposes order(s) and a copy of the Ontario endorsement and any other endorsements issued in relation to the certification and settlement approval process by the courts in Québec and British Columbia will be posted to the settlement website at capacitorclassaction.ca (.com) and recourscondensateurs.ca (.com) in English and French, where applicable. This information will also be posted, in English and French where practicable, on the respective websites of plaintiffs’ counsel.
2. A link to the settlement websites, as appropriate, will be posted on Class Counsel’s social media accounts (including but not limited to LinkedIn and Twitter).
3. By distribution to major news and broadcast outlets across Canada, in English and French, through a press release on Canada Newswire with promotion through Canada Newswire’s social media feeds.
4. The short-form notice will be provided to the following organizations, in English and in French, requesting voluntary distribution to their membership and/or that a copy of the short-form notice or information about the actions be posted on their website and social media channels, as applicable:
 - a. The Consumers’ Association of Canada;

- b. The Consumers' Council of Canada; and
- c. Electronic Products & Technology.

The manner by which any Notices and/or information are distributed will be at the discretion of each organization.

- 5. There will be a nationally syndicated digital distribution of the banner ad on the Google Display Network, the Facebook network, and to news media websites within the PostMedia network for a period of sixty (60) days, with a target of a minimum 1,000,000 unique impressions. The banner ad will be provided in English and/or French as applicable, and may be modified as necessary to fit the dimensions and specifications as required by particular websites and media providers. The banner ad will redirect class members to the settlement websites where they will be able to consult the long-form notice among other case documents.
- 6. Within seven (7) days of the first publication of the Notices, the short-form notice will be sent by direct mail, fax and/or e-mail to:
 - a. all persons who have registered to receive updates from Class Counsel about the Electrolytic and/or Film Class Action;
 - b. any Canadian customers of the Settling Defendants disclosed to Class Counsel by the Settling Defendants; and,
 - c. any Canadian customers of the previously settled defendants Panasonic Corporation, Panasonic Corporation of North America, Panasonic Canada Inc. and Sanyo Electric Co., Ltd. (collectively, "Panasonic"), disclosed to Class Counsel by Panasonic.

7. The short-form notice will be mailed to all potential Electrolytic Purchaser companies, except to those addresses where the mail was undeliverable, from the “Canadian Importers Database” pulled from Industry Canada under imported product codes HS6 - 853221 -Electrical Capacitors; fixed, tantalum and 853222 -Electrical Capacitors; fixed, aluminum electrolytic. Appended to this Plan of Dissemination as **Schedule “A”** is a copy of the list of Electrolytic Capacitors companies where the mailing was delivered to companies in 2020. For importers located in Québec, the short-form notice will be sent in English and French.

8. The short-form notice will be mailed to all potential Film Purchaser companies, except to those addresses where the mail was undeliverable, from the “Canadian Importers Database” pulled from Industry Canada under imported product code HS6 -Electrical Fixed Capacitors -Dielectric of Paper or Plastics. Appended to this Plan of Dissemination as **Schedule “B”** is copy of the list of Film Capacitors companies where the mailing was delivered to companies in 2020. For importers located in Québec, the short-form notice will be sent in English and French.

Schedule "A"

ABB INC DIVISION AUTOMATION
ARROW ELECTRONICS CANADA LTD
AUTOLIV ELECTRONICS CANADA INC.
AVNET INTERNATIONAL (CANADA) LTD/AVNET INTERNATIONAL (CANADA
BLACKBERRY LIMITED f/k/a RESEARCH IN MOTION LIMITED
CELESTICA LLC
CHEMICALS DIV
C-MAC MICROCIRCUITS ULC
CMC ELECTRONICS INC /CMC ELECTRONIQUE INC
COMMUNICATIONS & POWER INDUSTRIES CANADA INC
COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS DIVISION OF GENERAL DYNAMICS CANADA
COOL INNOVATIONS INC
CREATION TECHNOLOGIES LP
DIGI-KEY CORPORATION
DY 4 SYSTEMS INC
ELECTRO SONIC INC
FINNING INTERNATIONAL INC
FUTURE ELECTRONICS INC.
HARRIS CANADA SYSTEMS, INC.
LOGICAN TECHNOLOGIES INC.
MACDONALD, DETTWILER AND ASSOCIATES CORPORATION
NANOWAVE TECHNOLOGIES INC
NEWAGE LTD
NEWARK ELECTRONICS CANADA
SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC IT CORPORATION
SIEMENS CANADA LIMITED/SIEMENS CANADA LIMITEE
SUSTAINABLE ENERGY TECHNOLOGIES LTD.
TECTROL INC
TECUMSEH PRODUCTS OF CANADA, LIMITED
TELEFLEX MEGATECH INC.
TTI (MONTREAL)
TTI, INC.
UTECH ELECTRONICS
UTI CANADA CONTRACT LOGISTICS INC

Schedule "B"

ABB INC DIVISION AUTOMATION
ACME ELECTRIC (PORT HOPE) LIMITED
ADVENTEC MANUFACTURING INC
AKA INFORMATION DESIGN
ALSTOM RESEAU CANADA INC
ARROW ELECTRONICS CANADA LTD
BELDON SALES LIMITED
BOURGAULT INDUSTRIES LTD
CANADIAN NATURAL RESOURCES LIMITED
CARRIER ENTERPRISE CANADA, L.P.
CELESTICA LLC
CHEMICALS DIV
CREATION TECHNOLOGIES LP
DIGI-KEY CORPORATION
DRS TECHNOLOGIES CANADA LTD.
FORD MOTOR COMPANY OF CANADA LIMITED/FORD DU CANADA LIMITEE
FUTURE ELECTRONICS INC.
GENERAL ELECTRIC CANADA
GENERAL ELECTRIC CANADA INTERNATIONAL INC
KOMATSU AMERICA CORP.
LENNOX INDUSTRIES (CANADA) LTD./LES INDUSTRIES LENNOX (CANA
LES EQUIPEMENTS POWER SURVEY LTEE
MEASUREMENTS INTERNATIONAL LIMITED
MEVEX CORPORATION
MIRUS INTERNATIONAL INC.
NEWARK ELECTRONICS CANADA
RAYTHEON CANADA LIMITED
SANMINA-SCI CORPORATION
SIEMENS CANADA LIMITED/SIEMENS CANADA LIMITEE
SMS EQUIPMENT INC/EQUIPEMENT SMS INC
SOLENE ELECTRONIQUE INC
TECUMSEH PRODUCTS OF CANADA, LIMITED
TM4 INC.
TOSHIBA INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION
TOYOTA MOTOR MANUFACTURING CANADA INC
TRENCH LIMITED
TTI (MONTREAL)
TTI, INC.

UTECH ELECTRONICS
VOLTECH INTERNATIONAL INC.
YOUNG LIVING ESSENTIAL OILS, LC

In the Supreme Court of British Columbia

Between

SARA RAMSAY

Plaintiff

and

PANASONIC CORPORATION f/k/a MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD.; PANASONIC CORPORATION OF NORTH AMERICA; PANASONIC CANADA INC.; SANYO ELECTRIC CO., LTD.; SANYO ELECTRONIC DEVICE (U.S.A.) CORP.; SANYO NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION; TAIYO YUDEN CO., LTD.; TAIYO YUDEN (USA) INC.; NEC TOKIN CORPORATION; NEC TOKIN AMERICA, INC.; KEMET CORPORATION; KEMET ELECTRONICS CORPORATION; NIPPON CHEMI-CON CORPORATION; UNITED CHEMI-CON, INC.; HITACHI CHEMICAL CO., LTD.; HITACHI AIC INC.; HITACHI CHEMICAL CO. AMERICA, LTD.; HITACHI CANADA; FUJITSU LTD.; FUJITSU CANADA, INC.; NICHICON CORPORATION; FPCAP ELECTRONICS (SUZHOU) CO., LTD.; NICHICON (AMERICA) CORPORATION; AVX CORPORATION; RUBYCON CORPORATION; RUBYCON AMERICA INC.; ELNA CO., LTD.; ELNA AMERICA INC.; MATSUO ELECTRIC CO., LTD.; TOSHIN KOGYO CO., LTD.; HOLY STONE ENTERPRISE CO., LTD.; MILESTONE GLOBAL TECHNOLOGY, INC. d/b/a HOLYSTONE INTERNATIONAL; VISHAY INTERTECHNOLOGY, INC.; VISHAY POLYTECH CO., LTD. f/k/a HOLY STONE POLYTECH CO., LTD. ; SAMSUNG ELECTRO-MECHANICS; SAMSUNG ELECTRO-MECHANICS AMERICA INC.; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CANADA INC.; ROHM CO., LTD.; AND ROHM SEMICONDUCTOR U.S.A., LLC

Defendants

BROUGHT UNDER THE *CLASS PROCEEDINGS ACT*, R.S.B.C. 1996, c. 50

**ORDER MADE AFTER APPLICATION (ELECTROLYTIC CAPACITORS)
HOLY STONE CERTIFICATION AND NOTICE APPROVAL**

CAMP FIORANTE MATTHEWS MOGERMAN

Barristers & Solicitors
#400 – 856 Homer Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 2W5
Tel: (604) 689-7555
Fax: (604) 689-7554
Email: service@cfmlawyers.ca
via Mike Bike